



Independent 3rd Party Lab Test* (“Bake-off”)

Cisco 200 and 300 Series Switches versus HP E2620, E2810, E2520, E2510, E1810



Results

Cisco proven **superior** in: performance, usability, energy consumption, resiliency, price/performance (overall value), advanced features

“Cisco delivered the **highest capacity and scalability**”

“The Cisco switches provided the **best resiliency when subjected to a DoS attack**”

“Cisco switches can be seen as **more economical**”

“They were the **most energy efficient**”

“The Cisco switches were the **easiest to configure and implement**”

“...forwarded line rate full mesh traffic at all frame sizes with zero packet loss”

“...provided the most **extensive support for IPv6 transitions**”

“We were impressed with the comprehensive set of features, performance, overall power efficiency, and ease-of-use of the Cisco switches”

*Information on competitor products is accurate to the best of Cisco’s knowledge and is based on commercially available documentation from competitors as of June 2012. Partners/customers are encouraged to verify information.

Cisco and the Cisco logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Cisco and/or its affiliates in the U.S. and other countries. To view a list of Cisco trademarks, go to this URL: www.cisco.com/go/trademarks. Third-party trademarks mentioned are the property of their respective owners. The use of the word partner does not imply a partnership relationship between Cisco and any other company. (1110R) C92-702605-01 7/12

Cisco Advantages Over HP

| HP E2620-24 (J9623A) versus: | | HP E2520G-24-POE (J9138A) versus: | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Cisco SF300-24 | Cisco SF500-24 | Cisco SF300-24P | Cisco SF500-24 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • Zero touch—Cisco Discovery Protocol (CDP), Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • Advanced capabilities—VLAN Mirror, Q-in-Q, IGMP Querier, IPSG, MVR • Lower priced/better value | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • True stacking versus HP Clustering • Zero touch—CDP, Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • Advanced capabilities—IPSG, Q-in-Q, MVR, VLAN Mirror, etc. • Lower priced/better value | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • Zero touch—CDP, Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • Advanced capabilities—VLAN Mirror, Q-in-Q, IGMP Querier, ACL, IPSG, DAI, MVR, DHCP Snooping • Larger table sizes • Lower priced/better value | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • True stacking versus HP Clustering • Zero touch—CDP, Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • Advanced capabilities—IPSG, Q-in-Q, MVR, VLAN Mirror, ACL, DHCP Snooping, DAI, etc. |
| HP E2510-48G (J9280A) versus: | | HP E2910al-24G (J9245A): | |
| Cisco SG300-52 | Cisco SG500-52 | Cisco SG500-28 | Cisco SG500X-24 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • Zero touch—CDP, Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • IPv6—protect your investment • Advanced capabilities—VLAN Mirror, Q-in-Q, IGMP Querier, L3, DAI, IPSG, MVR • Larger table sizes • Lower priced/better value | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • True stacking versus HP Clustering • Zero touch—CDP, Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • IPv6—protect your investment • Advanced capabilities—IPSG, Q-in-Q, MVR, VLAN Mirror, ACL, DHCP Snooping, DAI, etc. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • True stacking versus HP Clustering • Zero touch—CDP, Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • Advanced capabilities—VLAN Mirror, MVR • Time-based ACLs, Port Operation, 802.1x • USGv6/IPv6 Gold • Lower priced/better value | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient • True stacking versus HP Clustering • Zero touch—CDP, Auto Smartports, Network Auto voice • Advanced capabilities—VRRP, MVR, VLAN Mirror, etc • Time-based ACLs, Port Operation, 802.1x • USGv6/IPv6 Gold • Lower priced/better value |

For full report visit, <http://miercom.com/pdf/reports/20120119.pdf>

