

## RFC 2544 Latency Testing on Cisco ASR 1000 Series Aggregation Services Routers

This whitepaper examines and analyses traffic latency on the Cisco ASR 1000 Series Routers. The Cisco ASR 1000 has three forwarding engines known as Cisco® ASR 1000 Series Embedded Services Processors (ESPs). This document will review the latency of two of those ESP forwarding engines, specifically the 10-Gbps Cisco ASR 1000 Series ESP (ASR1000-ESP10) and 20-Gbps Cisco ASR 1000 Series ESP (ASR1000-ESP20) forwarding engines. The goal of this whitepaper is to highlight how different forwarding rates impact the latency of the Cisco ASR 1000. This document highlights some of the choices that you must make while designing your network. This document covers the impact on overall latency relating to queuing, shaping and QoS which can impact the overall performance of your network.

The ASR1000-ESP20 was profiled in a WAN aggregation topology with services enabled to gain insight of how the system latency is affected while approaching the throughput non-drop rate (NDR).

This paper delivers results in two parts:

- **Phase 1:** Reporting RFC 2544 latency results for IP routing with and without services enabled as detailed in the RFC 2544 Test Setup. The results reported are the latency at the calculated NDR for that packet size/test.
- **Phase 2:** Profiling latency for different frame sizes at data points approaching the NDR in a WAN aggregation topology, in order to clearly illustrate and analyze the behaviour of the system.

Test results obtained from this testing are based on Cisco IOS XE release 2.2.2 for all tests. **The routers were tested using procedures** based on RFC 2544 Latency Testing.

### Background on the RFC 2544 Latency Test

In the Latency Test, frames are transmitted for a fixed duration (120sec). Once per second, the test tags a frame and transmits it half way through the duration time. The test compares the tagged frame's timestamp when it was transmitted with the timestamp and when it was received. The difference between the two timestamps is the latency. The results taken will be the average latencies for 20 trials. To be certain of accurate results, the test was configured with a frame rate at which the Cisco ASR 1000 does not lose packets. RFC 2544 Throughput Test is performed; in order to know the maximum throughput rate. Results from the Throughput test will be used to choose a frame rate for the Latency test.


Model	ESP Type	LAN to LAN Connectivity	Traffic
Cisco ASR 1006	ASR1000-ESP10	GE—GE	IP
Cisco ASR 1006	ASR1000-ESP10	10 GE—10 GE	IP
Cisco ASR 1006	ASR1000-ESP20	GE—GE	IP
Cisco ASR 1006	ASR1000-ESP20	10 GE—10 GE	IP

## Test Set-up Topologies

Refer to figure 1 for the RFC2544 latency testing topology. Figure 2 shows the WAN aggregation topology utilized for the RFC 2544 latency tests when services are enabled and not enabled.

The services configured for the test are; QoS queuing (and shaping) policy, access control lists, Netflow, uRPF and a dynamic routing protocol on each interface. The router learns, for the RFC2544 test, a nominal number of OSPF routes from its neighbors and must retain these adjacencies throughout the test. The configurations are further detailed in the configuration section below.

**Figure 1.** Physical topology for the RFC latency testing with and without features enabled.



**Figure 2.** Physical topology for the latency results in the WAN aggregation topology.





Figure 3 details the logical topology for the WAN aggregation latency test. In this test the services detailed in the prior paragraph are configured on the ASR1000-ESP20. However, in this instance the services are configured on all 205 sub-interfaces requiring a shaper to be configured on all 205 interfaces. In addition, the number of routes and routing adjacencies are significantly higher as detailed below in figure 3. The goal of this test topology was to utilize a likely deployed topology to examine latency under stress conditions. Traffic was sent to all 300,000 routes on all 205 interfaces, which allows for 120 million unique flows active during the test. In this situation all services, including Netflow, uRPF and QoS are subjected to a much higher load than normally found in static tests or real world WAN topologies.

**Figure 3.** Logical topology for the latency results in the WAN aggregation topology



## RFC2544 Test Results and Analysis

All traffic sent in these tests is bidirectional and reported as such. All traffic being sent is default class or routine traffic. For the ASR1000-ESP10 the system bandwidth is 10Gbps, hence the NDR for the 10G test for ASR1000-ESP10 is 50% of line rate. 40,000 nanoseconds (ns) = .04 milliseconds (ms), 40000 nanoseconds (ns) = 40 microseconds (uSec)

**Table 1.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP10 Gigabit Ethernet Testing—No Services

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	2976190	1488095	1523.809	761.905	100	19800	48760	45445
128	1689189	844594.3	1729.729	864.865	100	20820	49380	45968
256	905796.9	452898.4	1855.072	927.536	100	23980	50760	47124
512	469924.7	234962.3	1924.812	962.406	100	26640	55140	50876
1024	239463.5	119731.8	1961.685	980.843	100	33880	64460	59004
1280	192307.7	96153.83	1969.23	984.615	100	36380	63460	59490
1518	162548.7	81274.37	1973.992	986.996	100	39200	68320	64639

**Table 2.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP10 Gigabit Ethernet Testing —With Services (Non-Shaping QoS)

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	2976190	1488095	1523.809	761.905	100	34740	56760	54275
128	1689189	844594.4	1729.729	864.865	100	30740	56460	54688
256	905796.8	452898.4	1855.072	927.536	100	32360	60000	55723
512	469924.7	234962.4	1924.812	962.406	100	41860	64480	59230
1024	239463.5	119731.8	1961.685	980.843	100	42520	70940	67424
1280	192307.7	96153.83	1969.23	984.615	100	45760	70960	67564

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
1518	162548.7	81274.37	1973.992	986.996	100	48400	75260	72632

**Table 3.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP10 Gigabit Ethernet Testing—With Services (Shaping with QoS)

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	2976179	1488093	1523.804	761.903	100	36160	520980	315465
128	1689183	844593	1729.724	864.863	100	32980	469760	313213
256	905794.1	452897.2	1855.066	927.533	100	34420	487060	374726
512	469923.6	234961.8	1924.807	962.404	100	35820	439860	344002
1024	239462.6	119731.5	1961.678	980.841	100	50560	598100	410995
1280	192306.9	96153.49	1969.223	984.612	100	48060	485720	350397
1518	162548.3	81274.18	1973.987	986.994	100	50040	437960	342856

**Table 4.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP10 Ten Gigabit Ethernet Testing—No Services

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	14880949	7440475	7619.046	3809.523	50	17700	47220	21927
128	8445948	4222974	8648.651	4324.326	50	17980	47500	23250
256	4528985	2264493	9275.361	4637.681	50	18800	48260	24857
512	2349624	1174812	9624.06	4812.03	50	20260	49600	26895
1024	1197318	598659	9808.429	4904.215	50	23080	51780	30072
1280	961538.4	480769.2	9846.154	4923.077	50	24360	53800	31447
1518	812743.8	406371.9	9869.96	4934.98	50	25500	55360	32754

**Table 5.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP10 Ten Gigabit Ethernet Testing—With Services (Non-Shaping QoS)

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	9226193	4613097	4723.811	2361.906	31	26320	57160	29905
128	8445947	4222974	8648.65	4324.325	50	26980	62940	35372
256	4528985	2264492	9275.361	4637.681	50	27100	64880	36692
512	2349624	1174812	9624.061	4812.03	50	28180	66440	38534
1024	1197318	598659	9808.429	4904.215	50	31120	70540	41750
1280	961538.4	480769.2	9846.153	4923.077	50	32460	72060	43226
1518	811688.3	405844.1	9857.142	4928.571	50	33740	72520	44560

**Table 6.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP10 Ten Gigabit Ethernet Testing—With Services (Shaping with QoS)

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	8333333	4166667	4266.666	2133.333	28	27860	473680	52847
128	8445942	4222974	8648.645	4324.325	50	28940	486280	119785
256	4528984	2264493	9275.359	4637.681	50	28600	486420	122446

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
512	2349622	1174811	9624.05	4812.028	50	29900	486460	127814
1024	1197317	598659	9808.425	4904.215	50	32900	488920	137298
1280	961537.9	480769.2	9846.148	4923.077	50	34180	491900	136665
1518	812743.4	406371.9	9869.956	4934.98	50	35460	491980	140409

**Table 7.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP20 Gigabit Ethernet Testing—No Services

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	2976190	1488095	1523.809	761.905	100	17580	39700	36432
128	1689189	844594.4	1729.729	864.865	100	18900	40520	37173
256	905796.9	452898.4	1855.072	927.536	100	20440	41900	38904
512	469924.7	234962.4	1924.812	962.406	100	23980	45840	42691
1024	239463.6	119731.8	1961.685	980.843	100	30400	53240	49628
1280	192307.7	96153.84	1969.231	984.615	100	32840	46840	43482
1518	162548.7	81274.37	1973.992	986.996	100	36100	59040	55623

**Table 8.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP20 Gigabit Ethernet Testing—With Services (Non-Shaping QoS)

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	2976190	1488095	1523.809	761.905	100	28220	44780	43130
128	1689189	844594.4	1729.729	864.865	100	25920	45320	43695
256	905796.9	452898.5	1855.072	927.536	100	27880	48380	45466
512	469924.7	234962.4	1924.812	962.406	100	31120	50820	49028
1024	239463.6	119731.8	1961.685	980.843	100	37520	58000	55724
1280	192307.7	96153.84	1969.231	984.615	100	40240	55500	49722
1518	162548.7	81274.37	1973.992	986.996	100	43500	63800	61676

**Table 9.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP20 Ten Gigabit Ethernet Testing—No Services

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	20535701	10267852	10514.28	5257.14	69	15800	37900	20204
128	16891830	8445939	17297.23	8648.642	100	18540	447980	155395
256	9057938	4528982	18550.66	9275.355	100	18780	451080	157759
512	4699231	2349622	19248.05	9624.053	100	19140	453320	160180
1024	2394627	1197317	19616.79	9808.422	100	22620	455580	162461
1280	1923070	961537.8	19692.24	9846.147	100	23520	456500	163643
1518	1623376	811688.2	19714.28	9857.141	100	24380	46040	42693

**Table 10.** RFC2544 ASR1000-ESP20 Ten Gigabit Ethernet Testing—With Services (Non-Shaping QoS)

Frame Size (bytes)	Agg Throughput (fps)	Max Throughput (fps)	Agg Throughput (Mbps)	Max Throughput (Mbps)	Agg Throughput (% Line Rate)	Agg Min Latency (ns)	Agg Max Latency (ns)	Agg Avg Latency (ns)
64	12202381	6101191	6247.619	3123.81	41	22040	45340	25064
128	8943878	4526914	9158.532	4635.56	72	24460	53475	31045
256	9057937	4528981	18550.65	9275.353	100	25880	476700	176163
512	4699230	2349622	19248.05	9624.051	100	27420	478880	177912
1024	2394626	1197317	19616.78	9808.418	100	30140	484320	181518
1280	1923070	961537.6	19692.23	9846.145	100	31040	479420	180106
1518	1623376	811688.2	19714.28	9857.141	100	31920	53380	48921

The Tables above illustrate that the latency on the Cisco ASR 1000 platform at NDR is 20-30 microseconds without services. This is with the configuration detailed in table 12 below. Adding all of the services, as detailed in table 13, incurs only a 10usec delay as all services are performed on the QFP with hardware assists.

The ASR1000-ESP20 exhibits similar, though slightly lower latency when compared the ASR1000-ESP10 for the Gigabit ethernet testing, this is due to the fact that ASR1000-ESP20 is clocked slightly faster than ASR1000-ESP10. In the ten gigabit ethernet testing, the ASR1000-ESP20 can forward at the full 10Gbps bidirectional rate for packets greater than 200 bytes. With the ESP20 all interfaces are forwarding at line rate forcing an additional queuing operation at the interface level that is not realized on ASR1000-ESP10. (Note: Additional queuing not seen on ASR1000-ESP10 due to the bi-directional traffic flow allows 5Gbps on each 10Gbps interface) It is due to the 5Gbps transit on the ASR1000-ESP10 (see note) and the fact that the packet per second is doubled on the ASR1000-ESP20 from the ASR1000-ESP10 that the latency at NDR is around 100usecs higher for the ASR1000-ESP20 at NDR for 10Gbps testing.

When utilizing a hierachical QoS policy and shaping the latency increases by around 200usecs at NDR. Customers typically deploy shaping when configuring services on sub-interfaces or when a specific traffic rate is desired.

In this test shaping is not explicitly required, but it is detailed to provide a comparison with a normal queuing policy. This minor increase in latency is due to the shaper monitoring the traffic rate and the allowed burst per interval, then queuing if the calculated rate is above the shaper rate for that time interval, or passing if it is below. At NDR, this equates to either the line rate of the Gig interface for the Gigabit Ethernet test, or the system bandwidth of 10Gbps. At these rates the shaper is quite naturally queuing traffic so as to not exceed the configured bandwidth and to average to the configured rate; it is this queuing at NDR that causes the increased latency. It is important to note that the goal of any shaper is to not drop any traffic, but instead to queue it as it is in this instance. This shaping capability and operation is a fundamental difference to the queuing normally configured on switches, where strict priority and weighted round robin are the configured. The main goal of shaping in any router platform is maximize throughput and control, or smooth, the traffic to the desired rate.

To simplify, when you configure a router to shape an interface and then oversubscribe the interface, the shaping will cause latency to rise. This is a normal and expected behavior of any router with queuing and shaping.

The latency when approaching NDR and the usage of differentiated classes of traffic is further explored in the WAN aggregation topology test, where same shaper configurations are configured on all 205 subinterfaces, along with all the other services.



## WAN Aggregation Test Results and Analysis

The following table profiles the latency for two packet sizes at data points approaching NDR. The 256B and 1518B sizes were chosen as both can forward up to 100% line rate and together they represent a smaller and larger sized packet profile. It must be noted here that the 64B packet could forward at a similar rate and at a similar average latency to that detailed in table 10 previously. All traffic being sent is default class or routine traffic.

**Table 11.** ASR1000-ESP20 WAN Aggregation Testing—Tracking Latency Up To NDR with Services (Shaping QoS)

Frame Size (bytes)	Load (%)	Tx Frame Rate (fps)	TxFrames	Rx Frames	Loss (%)	Latency Min (uSec)	Latency Avg (uSec)	Latency Max (uSec)
256	90	8138926	2440244180	2440244180	0	24.49	50.248	1151.8
256	91	8242754	2469908995	2469908995	0	24.45	52.204	1402.46
256	92	8333333	2500008355	2500008355	0	24.33	51.946	1205.01
256	93	8381762	2517370200	2517370200	0	24.54	53.42	1357.82
256	94	8485690	2544074130	2544074130	0	24.54	55.309	1142.58
256	95	8590314	2575015980	2575015980	0	24.63	57.418	1431.35
256	96	8695652	2606439830	2606439830	0	24.68	60.018	1267.87
256	97	8740396	2628470785	2628470785	0	24.51	62.953	1167.44
256	98	8845515	2651953030	2651953030	0	24.59	66.447	1322.03
256	99	8951366	2684403160	2684403160	0	24.96	73.196	1273.95
256	100	9057971	2717400515	2717400515	0	33.54	1179.081	2846.29
1518	90	1597567	479270100	479270100	0	29.53	99.23	481.87
1518	91	1605678	481703400	481703400	0	29.56	100.87	481.34
1518	92	1599576	479872800	479872800	0	29.67	101.39	483.85
1518	93	1623496	487048800	487048800	0	29.74	103.56	485.34
1518	94	1610984	483295200	483295200	0	29.73	103.98	491.98
1518	95	1599374	479812200	479812200	0	29.71	104.34	488.43
1518	96	1623465	487039500	487039500	0	29.74	106.76	490.22
1518	97	1613427	484028100	484028100	0	29.73	105.44	491.23
1518	98	1591964	477497848	477497848	0	29.74	106.277	497.11
1518	99	1608198	482387054	482387054	0	29.73	114.08	521.04
1518	100	1624432	487331255	487331255	0	30.06	132.71	806.7

The table above clearly shows that the latency remains low up to 99% of NDR, which in this case is the line rate bi-directional 10Gbps traffic. (Note: Even though there is a shaper and queuing operation configured it has no impact on the forwarding latency up to the point where it actually is in effect- 100% of load) It is interesting to note that even at 99% of line rate the latency for both packet sizes is in the order of 50 to 100usecs. At or very close to NDR there is some queuing in effect with a shaper rate configured actively enforcing the burst rate and queuing accordingly. What is important to realize is that up until the point that the shaper is actually queuing traffic the latency remains low and deterministic, as illustrated in the charts below and table above, it is only at NDR that the shaper will begin queuing and hence the latency will increase ~ 200usec.



In the scenario where the traffic is approaching the NDR, or is in fact congested, then It is the goal of any routing platform to buffer non-priority traffic and maintain the throughput rate rather than immediately drop the traffic. Specifically with the Cisco ASR 1000 an additional millisecond, or N x 100 microseconds of latency is both tolerated and expected for the default traffic class. If the rate remains above the configured rate then the traffic will eventually be tail dropped when the configured queue is full for the class.

If a certain class/type of traffic is particularly latency/jitter sensitive and it must never incur any additional delay even when the system or link is approaching NDR or congested, then one must employ different classes of traffic where one traffic class is prioritized over another. In the case of the AR1000, there is support for two priority queues that could be used in this instance.

For this priority traffic the paradigm is obviously different, in this case latency remains consistently low and in this case the buffers are deliberately set shallower. The ultimate goal for priority, or low latency queuing (LLQ) traffic is just that, to keep latency low and in most cases the traffic profile is policed.

The test scenario above, where all traffic being sent is of the same class is not a test of QoS as such, to test QoS operation more completely one must have more that one traffic class configured then congest the interface and prioritize accordingly.



It must be noted that the Cisco ASR 1000 has a systemic notion of high and low priority traffic even within QFP and outside the queuing chipset, therefore low latency traffic is always guaranteed to be serviced first throughout the platform. In these test profiles, but outside of the RFC2544 testing, priority traffic was sent concurrently to help ensure LLQ operation. In all cases the priority traffic incurred latency in the order of 30 ~ 50usec, while default traffic was either buffered or tail dropped.

## Conclusion

The overall goal of this whitepaper was to explain the Cisco ASR 1000 latency in response to different configuration and load conditions. In summary, the Cisco ASR 1000 has extremely good latency while running with basic routing functions as well as with multiple services turned on. It was also demonstrated that like any router with shaping turned latency will increase as you get to closer to the NDR. In these scenarios latency will be higher due to the need for the router to buffer and shape the packets while sustaining a 100% traffic load.

For further information, please see the Cisco ASR 1000 homepage at <http://www.cisco.com/go/asr1000>

## RFC2544 Configurations Used In Testing

The configurations used in the RFC2544 test are detailed in this section.

**Table 12.** RFC 2544 Configurations—No Services

1 GigE Configuration Without Services	10 GigE Configuration Without Services
<pre> ASR#sh run no service password-encryption ! hostname ASR ! no aaa new-model ! resource policy ! interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0 ip address 10.10.10.1 255.255.255.0 ! interface GigabitEthernet0/0/1 ip address 20.20.20.1 255.255.255.0 </pre>	<pre> ASR#sh run no service password-encryption ! hostname ASR ! no aaa new-model ! resource policy ! interface TenGigabitEthernet0/0/0 ip address 10.10.10.1 255.255.255.0 ! interface TenGigabitEthernet0/0/1 ip address 20.20.20.1 255.255.255.0 </pre>

**Table 13.** RFC 2544 1Gigabit Configuration With Services With Hierarchal Shaping QoS Policy**1 GigE Configuration With Services Shaping QoS Configuration**

```

ASR#
class-map match-any Voice-Out
    match ip dscp ef
class-map match-any Critical-Data-Out
    match ip dscp af23
class-map match-any Netwk-Control-Out
    match access-group 101 (match ospf)
!
policy-map LAN-CHILD-OUT
class Voice-Out
    priority level 1
class Network-Control-Out
    bandwidth remaining ratio 1
class Critical-Data-Out
    priority level 2
class class-default
    bandwidth remaining ratio 1
policy-map LAN-PARENT-OUT
class class-default
    shape average 1000000000
    service-policy LAN-CHILD-OUT
!
hostname ASR
!
interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0
ip address 10.10.10.1 255.255.255.0
ip access-group GIG000ACL_IN in    (100 Line ACL)
ip access-group GIG000ACL_OUT out (100 Line ACL)
ip verify unicast reverse-path allow-self-ping
ip flow ingress
ip ospf authentication message-digest
ip ospf message-digest-key 1 md5 ASR1000
no cdp enable
service-policy output LAN-PARENT-OUT
!

```

**1 GigE Configuration With Services Shaping QoS Configuration**

```
interface GigabitEthernet0/0/1
ip address 20.20.20.1 255.255.255.0
ip access-group GIG001ACL_IN in    (100 Line ACL)
ip access-group GIG001ACL_OUT out  (100 Line ACL)
ip verify unicast reverse-path allow-self-ping
ip flow ingress
ip ospf authentication message-digest
ip ospf message-digest-key 1 md5 ASR1000
no cdp enable
service-policy output LAN-PARENT-OUT
!
router ospf 1
  router-id 223.255.255.254
  queue-depth update unlimited
  ispf
  nsf ietf restart-interval 120
  network 223.255.255.254 0.0.0.0 area 0
  network 20.20.20.1 0.0.0.0 area 0
  network 10.10.10.1 0.0.0.0 area 0
```

**Table 14.** RFC 2544 Ten Gigabit Configuration With Services With Hierarchal Shaping QoS Policy**10 GigE Configuration With Services Shaping QoS Configuration**

```

ASR#
class-map match-any Voice-Out
    match ip dscp ef
class-map match-any Critical-Data-Out
    match ip dscp af23
class-map match-any Netwk-Control-Out
    match access-group 101 (match ospf)
!
policy-map LAN-CHILD-OUT
class Voice-Out
    priority level 1
class Network-Control-Out
    bandwidth remaining ratio 1
class Critical-Data-Out
    priority level 2
class class-default
    bandwidth remaining ratio 1
policy-map LAN-PARENT-OUT
class class-default
    shape average 10000000000
    service-policy LAN-CHILD-OUT
!
hostname ASR
!
interface TenGigabitEthernet0/0/0
ip address 10.10.10.1 255.255.255.0
ip access-group TeGIG000ACL_IN in    (100 Line ACL)
ip access-group TeGIG000ACL_OUT out (100 Line ACL)
ip verify unicast reverse-path allow-self-ping
ip flow ingress
ip ospf authentication message-digest
ip ospf message-digest-key 1 md5 ASR1000
no cdp enable
service-policy output LAN-PARENT-OUT
!

```

**10 GgE Configuration With Services Shaping QoS Configuration**

```
interface TenGigabitEthernet0/1/0
ip address 20.20.20.1 255.255.255.0
ip access-group TeGIG001ACL_IN in  (100 Line ACL)
ip access-group TeGIG001ACL_OUT out (100 Line ACL)
ip verify unicast reverse-path allow-self-ping
ip flow ingress
ip ospf authentication message-digest
ip ospf message-digest-key 1 md5 ASR1000
no cdp enable
service-policy output LAN-PARENT-OUT
!
router ospf 1
  router-id 223.255.255.254
  queue-depth update unlimited
  ispf
  nsf ietf restart-interval 120
  network 223.255.255.254 0.0.0.0 area 0
  network 20.20.20.1 0.0.0.0 area 0
  network 10.10.10.1 0.0.0.0 area 0
```

**Table 15.** RFC 2544 Gigabit Configuration With Services Non Shaping QoS Policy**1 GigE Configuration With Services No Shaping QoS Configuration**

```

class-map match-any Voice-Out
    match ip dscp ef
class-map match-any Critical-Data-Out
    match ip dscp af23
class-map match-any Netwk-Control-Out
    match access-group 101 (match ospf)
!
policy-map LAN-QOS-OUT
class Voice-Out
    priority level 1
class Network-Control-Out
    bandwidth remaining ratio 1
class Critical-Data-Out
    priority level 2
class class-default
    bandwidth remaining ratio 1
!
interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0
ip address 10.10.10.1 255.255.255.0
ip access-group GIG000ACL_IN in    (100 Line ACL)
ip access-group GIG000ACL_OUT out (100 Line ACL)
ip verify unicast reverse-path allow-self-ping
ip flow ingress
ip ospf authentication message-digest
ip ospf message-digest-key 1 md5 ASR1000
service-policy output LAN-QOS-OUT
!
interface GigabitEthernet0/1/0
ip address 20.20.20.1 255.255.255.0
ip access-group GIG001ACL_IN in    (100 Line ACL)
ip access-group GIG001ACL_OUT out (100 Line ACL)
ip verify unicast reverse-path allow-self-ping
ip flow ingress
ip ospf authentication message-digest
ip ospf message-digest-key 1 md5 ASR1000

```

**1 GigE Configuration With Services No Shaping QoS Configuration**

```
service-policy output LAN-QOS-OUT
```

```
-----
```

**Configuration for the Non-Shaping on Ten Gigabit ethernet is the Same as above.**

**For More Information**

- <http://www.cisco.com/go/qos/>
- <http://www.cisco.com/go/asr1000>



**Americas Headquarters**  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
San Jose, CA

**Asia Pacific Headquarters**  
Cisco Systems (USA) Pte. Ltd.  
Singapore

**Europe Headquarters**  
Cisco Systems International BV  
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Cisco has more than 200 offices worldwide. Addresses, phone numbers, and fax numbers are listed on the Cisco Website at [www.cisco.com/go/offices](http://www.cisco.com/go/offices).

CCDE, CCENT, Cisco Eos, Cisco HealthPresence, the Cisco logo, Cisco Lumin, Cisco Nexus, Cisco StadiumVision, Cisco TelePresence, Cisco WebEx, DCE, and Welcome to the Human Network are trademarks; Changing the Way We Work, Live, Play, and Learn and Cisco Store are service marks; and Access Registrar, Aironet, AsyncOS, Bringing the Meeting To You, Catalyst, CCDA, CCDP, CCIE, CCIP, CCNA, CCNP, CCSP, CCVP, Cisco, the Cisco Certified Internetwork Expert logo, Cisco IOS, Cisco Press, Cisco Systems, Cisco Systems Capital, the Cisco Systems logo, Cisco Unity, Collaboration Without Limitation, EtherFast, EtherSwitch, Event Center, Fast Step, Follow Me Browsing, FormShare, GigaDrive, HomeLink, Internet Quotient, IOS, iPhone, iQuick Study, IronPort, the IronPort logo, LightStream, Linksys, MediaTone, MeetingPlace, MeetingPlace Chime Sound, MGX, Networkers, Networking Academy, Network Registrar, PCNow, PIX, PowerPanels, ProConnect, ScriptShare, SenderBase, SMARTnet, Spectrum Expert, StackWise, The Fastest Way to Increase Your Internet Quotient, TransPath, WebEx, and the WebEx logo are registered trademarks of Cisco Systems, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the United States and certain other countries.

All other trademarks mentioned in this document or website are the property of their respective owners. The use of the word partner does not imply a partnership relationship between Cisco and any other company. (0812R)