# ··II··II·· CISCO

MPLS Traffic Engineering Traffic Protection using Fast Re-route (FRR)



#### Santiago Álvarez August 2008

#### **MPLS TE Use Cases**



## **Traffic Protection Using MPLS TE Fast Re-Route (FRR)**



**Primary TE LSP** 

**Backup TE LSP** 

- Subsecond recovery against node/link failures
- Scalable 1:N protection
- Greater protection granularity
- Cost-effective alternative to 1:1 protection
- Bandwidth protection



## **FRR Link Protection Operation**

- Requires next-hop (NHOP) backup tunnel
- Point of Local Repair (PLR) swaps label and pushes backup label
- Backup terminates on Merge Point (MP) where traffic rejoins primary
- Restoration time expected under ~50 ms



#### **FRR Node Protection Operation**

- Requires next-next-hop (NNHOP) backup tunnel
- Point of Local Repair (PLR) swaps next-hop label and pushes backup label
- Backup terminates on Merge Point (MP) where traffic rejoins primary
- Restoration time depends on failure detection time



## **Bandwidth Protection**

- Backup tunnel with associated bandwidth capacity
- Backup tunnel may or may not actually signal bandwidth
- PLR will decide best backup to protect primary (nhop/nnhop, backup-bw, class-type, node-protection flag)



# **Configuring FRR (Cisco IOS)**

#### **Primary Tunnel**

| interface Tunnel1                              | 2 |                      |
|--|---|----------------------|
| description FROM-ROUTER-TO-DST1-FRR            |   |                      |
| ip unnumbered Loopback0                        |   |                      |
| tunnel destination 172.16.255.2                |   |                      |
| tunnel mode mpls traffic-eng                   |   |                      |
| tunnel mpls traffic-eng bandwidth 20000        |   | Indianta tha desire  |
| tunnel mpls traffic-eng path-option 10 dynamic |   | Indicate the desire  |
| tunnel mpls traffic-eng fast-reroute           |   | for local protection |
| I  |   | during signaling     |

#### **Backup Tunnel**

| interface Tunnel1<br>description NNHOP-BACKUP<br>ip unnumbered Loopback0<br>tunnel destination 172.16.255.2<br>tunnel mode mpls traffic-eng<br>tunnel mpls traffic-eng path-option 10 explicit name PATH1 | Explicitly routed<br>backup to<br>172.16.255.2 with<br>zero bandwidth |
|---|---|
| interface POS1/0/0<br>ip address 172.16.192.5 255.255.255.254<br>mpls traffic-eng tunnels<br>mpls traffic-eng backup-path Tunnel1<br>ip rsvp bandwidth<br>!   | Use Tunnel1 as<br>backup for<br>protected LSPs<br>through POS1/0/0    |

# **Configuring FRR (Cisco IOS XR)**

#### **Primary Tunnel**



#### **Backup Tunnel**



#### AutoTunnel: Primary Tunnels What's the Problem?

- FRR can protect TE Traffic
- No protection mechanism for IP or LDP traffic
- How to leverage FRR for all traffic?
- What if protection desired without traffic engineering?



## AutoTunnel: Primary Tunnels What's the Solution?





#### Forward all traffic through a onehop protected primary TE tunnel

 Create protected one-hop tunnels on all TE links

| Priority       | 7/7        |
|----------------|------------|
| Bandwidth      | 0          |
| Affinity       | 0x0/0xFFFF |
| Auto-BW        | OFF        |
| Auto-Route     | ON         |
| Fast-Reroute   | ON         |
| Forwarding-Adj | OFF        |
| Load-Sharing   | OFF        |
|                |            |

- Tunnel interfaces not shown on router configuration
- Configure desired backup tunnels (manually or automatically)

## AutoTunnel: Primary Tunnels Why One-Hop Tunnels?

- CSPF and SPF yield same results (absence of tunnel constraints)
- Auto-route forwards all traffic through one-hop tunnel
- Traffic logically mapped to tunnel but no label imposed (imp-null)
- traffic is forwarded as if no tunnel was in place



Primary TE LSP

## **Configuring AutoTunnel Primary Tunnels** (Cisco IOS)



#### AutoTunnel: Backup Tunnels What's the Problem?

- MPLS FRR requires backup tunnels to be preconfigured
- Automation of backup tunnels is desirable



## AutoTunnel: Backup Tunnels What's the Solution?



#### Create backup tunnels automatically as needed

- Detect if a primary tunnel requires protection and is not protected
- Verify that a backup tunnel doesn't already exist
- Compute a backup path to NHOP and NNHOP excluding the protected facility
- Optionally, consider shared risk link groups during backup path computation
- Signal the backup tunnels

## AutoTunnel: Backup Tunnels What's the Solution? (Cont.)



 Backup tunnels are preconfigured

| 7/7        |
|------------|
| 0          |
| 0x0/0xFFFF |
| OFF        |
|            |

 Backup tunnel interfaces and paths not shown on router configuration

## **Configuring AutoTunnel Backup Tunnels** (Cisco IOS)

| mpls traffic-eng tunnels   | Enable auto-<br>tunnel backup |
|--|-------------------------------|
| mpls traffic-eng auto-tunnel backup nhop-only                    |                               |
| mpls traffic-eng auto-tunnel backup tunnel-num min 1900 max 1999 | (NHOP tunnels                 |
| mpls traffic-eng auto-tunnel backup timers removal unused 7200   | only)                         |
| mpls traffic-eng auto-tunnel backup srlg exclude preferred       |                               |
| !  | Range for tunnel              |
|  | tunnel                        |

Tear down unused backup tunnels

Consider SRLGs preferably

interfaces

# Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG)



- Some links may share same physical resource (e.g. fiber, conduit)
- AutoTunnel Backup can force or prefer exclusion of SRLG to guarantee diversely routed backup tunnels
- IS-IS and OSPF flood SRLG membership as an additional link attribute

# **Configuring SRLG (Cisco IOS)**



#### What About Path Protection?

- Primary and backup share head and tail, but diversely routed
- No dynamically computed path diversity
- Expected to result in higher restoration times compared to local protection
- Doubles number of TE LSPs (1:1 protection)
- May be an acceptable solution for restricted topologies (e.g. rings)



## **P2MP TE LSP Traffic Protection**

- No new protocol extensions to support FRR
- Protection requirement applies to all destinations
- P2P LSP as backup tunnel for a sub-LSP
- No changes to label stacking procedure
- Only link protection supported



## **Inter-Domain TE – Fast Re-route**



- Same configuration as single domain scenario
- Support for node-id sub-object required to implement ABR/ASBR node protection
- Node-id helps point of local repair (PLR) detect a merge point (MP)

#### **Bidirectional Forwarding Detection Trigger for** FRR

- FRR relies on quick PLR failure detection
- Some failures may not produce loss of signal or alarms on a link
- BFD provides lightweight neighbor connectivity failure detection



#### References



## **Cisco Documentation**

 MPLS TE: Link and Node Protection, with RSVP Hellos Support (with Fast Tunnel Interface Down Detection)

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/partner/docs/ios/12\_0s/feature/guide/f slnph30.html

MPLS Traffic Engineering: BFD-triggered Fast Reroute (FRR)

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/docs/ios/mpls/configuration/guide/mp \_te\_bfd\_frr.html

MPLS Traffic Engineering (TE)--AutoTunnel Primary and Backup

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/partner/docs/ios/12\_0s/feature/guide/ gsautotn.html

MPLS Traffic Engineering: Shared Risk Link Groups (SRLG)

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/partner/docs/ios/12\_0s/feature/guide/f s29srlg.html

#### **Standards**

- Fast Reroute Extensions to RSVP-TE for LSP Tunnels <u>http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4090</u>
- Routing Extensions in Support of GMPLS <u>http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4202</u>
- OSPF Extensions in Support of GMPLS

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4203

IS-IS Extensions in Support of GMPLS

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4205

 Definition of a Record Route Object (RRO) Node-Id Sub-Object <u>http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4561</u>

#