



# Cisco Unified Wireless Network Architecture Base Security Features

The Cisco Unified Wireless Network solution builds upon the base security features of 802.11 by augmenting RF, 802.11 and network-based security features where necessary to improve overall security. Although the 802.11 standards address the security of the wireless medium, the Cisco Unified Wireless Network solution addresses end-to-end security of the entire system by using architecture and product security features to protect WLAN endpoints, the WLAN infrastructure, client communication, and the supporting wired network.

Figure 4-1 shows a high level topology of the Cisco Unified Wireless Network Architecture, which includes Lightweight Access Point Protocol (LWAPP) access points (LAPs), mesh LWAPP APs (MAPs), the Wireless Control System (WCS), and the Wireless LAN Controller (WLC); alternate WLC platforms include the Wireless LAN Controller Module (WLCM) or Wireless Services Module (WiSM). The Cisco Access Control Server (ACS) and its Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting (AAA) features complete the solution by providing RADIUS services in support of wireless user authentication and authorization.



Figure 4-1 Cisco Unified Wireless Network Architecture

# **Cisco Unified Wireless Network Architecture**

Figure 4-2 illustrates one of the primary features of the architecture—how Lightweight Access Point Protocol (LWAPP) access points (LAPs) use the LWAPP protocol to communicate with and tunnel traffic to a WLC.



LWAPP has three primary functions:

- Control and management of the LAP
- Tunneling of WLAN client traffic to the WLC
- Collection of 802.11 data for the management of the Cisco Unified Wireless System

## **LWAPP** Features

The easier a system is to deploy and manage, the easier it is to manage the security associated with that system. Early implementers of WLAN systems that used "fat" APs (autonomous or intelligent APs) found that the implementation and configuration of such APs was the equivalent of deploying and managing hundreds of individual firewalls, each requiring constant attention to ensure correct firmware, configuration, and safeguarding. Even worse, APs are often deployed in physically unsecured areas where theft of an AP could result in someone accessing its configuration to gain information to aid in some other form of malicious activity.

LWAPP addresses deployment, configuration, and physical security issues by doing the following:

- Removing direct user interaction and management of the AP. Instead, the AP is managed by the WLC through its LWAPP connection. This moves the configuration and firmware functions to the WLC, which can be further centralized through the use of the WCS.
- Having the AP download its configuration from the WLC and be automatically updated when configuration changes occur on the WLC.
- Having the AP synchronize its firmware with its WLC, ensuring that the AP is always running the correct software version
- Storing sensitive configuration data at the WLC and storing only IP address information on the AP. In this way, if the AP is physically compromised, there is no configuration information resident in NVRAM that can be used to perform further malicious activity.
- Mutually authenticating LAPs to WLCs and AES encrypting the LWAPP control channel.

In addition to the improvements in physical security, firmware, and configuration management offered by LWAPP, the tunneling of WLAN traffic in an LWAPP-based architecture improves the ease of deployment without compromising the overall security of the solution. LAPs that support multiple WLAN VLANs can be deployed on access layer switches without requiring dot1q trunking or adding additional client subnets at the access switches. All WLAN client traffic is tunneled to centralized locations (where the WLC resides), making it simpler to implement enterprise-wide WLAN access and security policies.

## **Cisco Unified Wireless Security Features**

The native 802.11 security features combined with the physical security and ease of deployment of the LWAPP architecture improve the overall security of WLAN deployments. In addition to the inherent security benefits offered by the LWAPP protocol described above, the Cisco Unified Wireless solution also includes the following additional security features:

- Enhanced WLAN security options
- ACL and firewall features
- Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) and Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) protection
- Peer-to-peer blocking
- Wireless intrusion detection system (IDS)
- Client exclusion
- Rogue AP detection
- Management frame protection
- Dynamic radio frequency management
- Architecture integration
- IDS integration

### **Enhanced WLAN Security Options**

The Cisco Unified Wireless Network solution supports multiple concurrent WLAN security options. For example, multiple WLANs can be created on a WLC, each with its own WLAN security settings that range from open guest WLAN networks and WEP networks for legacy platforms to combinations of WPA and/or WPA2 security configurations.

Each WLAN SSID can be mapped to either the same or different dot1q interface on the WLC, or Ethernet over IP (EoIP) tunneled to a different controller through a mobility anchor connection.

If a WLAN client is 802.1X authenticated, the dot1q VLAN assignment can be controlled by the RADIUS attributes passed to the WLC.

Figure 4-3 and Figure 4-4 show a subset of the Unified Wireless WLAN configuration screen. The following three main configuration items appear on this sample screen:

- The WLAN SSID
- The WLC interface to which the WLAN is mapped
- The security method (additional WPA and WPA2 options are on this page, but are not shown)

،،ا،،،ا،، cısco	MONITOR WLANS	<u>C</u> ontroller W <u>i</u> reless	Sa <u>v</u> e Configuration   <u>P</u> in <u>S</u> ECURITY MANAGEMENT C <u>O</u> M	ng Logout <u>R</u> efi MANDS HE <u>L</u> P
WLANS WLANS WLANS Advanced	WLANS > Edit General Secur Profile Name Type 1 SSID Status Security Policies Radio Policy Interface Broadcast SSID	ity QoS Advanced cisco WLAN cisco F Enabled [WPA2][Auth(802.1X	< Back	Apply





uluilu	Save Configuration   Ping   Logout   <u>R</u> efre:
cisco	MONITOR <u>W</u> LANS <u>C</u> ONTROLLER WIRELESS <u>S</u> ECURITY MANAGEMENT C <u>O</u> MMANDS HELP
WLANS WLANS WLANS Advanced	WLANs > Edit     < Back

225269

### **Local EAP Authentication**

The 5.0 WLC code release provides local EAP authentication, which can be used when an external RADIUS server is not available or becomes unavailable. The delay before switching to local authentication is configurable, as shown in Figure 4-5. When RADIUS server availability is restored, the WLC automatically switches back from local authentication to RADIUS server authentication.



alialia.		s	a <u>v</u> e Configuration	<u>P</u> ing   Logout   <u>R</u> efree
cisco	MONITOR WLANS CONTROLLER WIRELESS	<u>S</u> ECURITY	M <u>A</u> NAGEMENT	C <u>O</u> MMANDS HELP
Security	General			Apply
<ul> <li>AAA</li> <li>General</li> <li>RADIUS</li> <li>Authentication</li> <li>Accounting</li> <li>Fallback</li> <li>TACACS+</li> <li>LDAP</li> <li>Local Net Users</li> <li>MAC Filtering</li> <li>Disabled Clients</li> <li>User Login Policies</li> <li>AP Policies</li> </ul>	Local Auth Active Timeout <sup>1</sup> (in secs) Identity Request Timeout (in secs) Identity request Max Retries Dynamic WEP Key Index Request Timeout (in secs) Request Max Retries * The timeout period during which Local EAP will always be used after all Radius Servers are failed	300 30 2 0 30 2 2		
▼ Local EAP General Profiles EAP-FAST Parameters Authentication Priority				
Priority Order				
Access Control Lists				
Wireless Protection     Policies				
Web Auth				26
Advanced				225276 225276

The EAP types supported locally on the WLC are LEAP, EAP-FAST, and EAP-TLS. Examples of local EAP profiles are shown in Figure 4-6.

#### Figure 4-6 Local EAP Profiles Save Configuration | Ping | Logout | Refre ...... CISCO MONITOR WLANS CONTROLLER WIRELESS SECURITY MANAGEMENT COMMANDS HELP Local EAP Profiles > Edit Security < Back Apply Profile Name Example General RADIUS LEAP EAP-FAST П Authentication Accounting EAP-TLS Fallback PEAP LDAP Local Net Users Local Certificate Required Enabled MAC Filtering Client Certificate Required Enabled Disabled Clients User Login Policies Certificate Issuer Cisco 💌 **AP** Policies Check against CA certificates 🛛 🗹 Enabled Local EAP Verify Certificate CN Identity 🛛 🗖 Enabled General Profiles Check Certificate Date Validity I Enabled EAP-FAST Parameters Authentication Priority

225277

A WLC supports the use of a local database for authentication data and it can also access an LDAP directory to provide data for EAP-FAST or EAP-TLS authentication. The priority that an LDAP server has over the local authentication database of local net users is configurable, as shown in Figure 4-7.

Figure 4-7 Local EAP Priority

						Sa <u>v</u> e Configuration   <u>P</u> ing   Lo <u>q</u> out			
CISCO	MONITOR	<u>W</u> LANs		WIRELESS	<u>S</u> ECURITY	M <u>A</u> NAGEMENT	C <u>O</u> MMANDS	HE <u>L</u> P	
Security	Priority C	order > Lo	ocal-Auth				_	Apply	
<ul> <li>AAA         <ul> <li>General</li> <li>RADIUS                 Authentication                 Accounting                 Fallback</li> <li>TACACS+                 LDAP                 Local Net Users                 MAC Filtering                 Disabled Clients                 User Login Policies                 AP Policies</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	User Cred	<pre>&gt; </pre>		_					
▼ Local EAP General Profiles EAP-FAST Parameters Authentication Priority								026070	

### **ACL and Firewall Features**

The WLC allows access control lists (ACLs) to be defined for any interface configured on the WLC, as well as ACLs to be defined for the CPU of the WLC itself. These ACLs can be used to enforce policy on particular WLANs to limit access to particular addresses and protocols, as well as to provide additional protection to the WLC itself.

Interface ACLs act on WLAN client traffic in and out of the interfaces to which the ACLs are applied. CPU ACLs are independent of interfaces on the WLC and are applied to all traffic to and from the WLC system.

Figure 4-8 shows the ACL configuration page. The ACL can specify source and destination address ranges, protocols, source and destination ports, differentiated services code point (DSCP), and direction in which the ACL is to be applied. An ACL can be created out of a sequence of various rules.

ahaha					ve Configuration		ogout <u>R</u> efre
CISCO	MONITOR WLANs	CONTROLLER	WIRELESS	SECURITY	MANAGEMENT	C <u>O</u> MMAN	ids he <u>l</u> p
Security	Access Control L	ists > Rules >	New		< Ba	ck	Apply
AAA	Sequence	10	1				
General RADIUS Authentication	Source	Any	]				
Accounting Fallback	Destination	Any	]				
TACACS+ LDAP	Protocol	UDP	•				
Local Net Users MAC Filtering Disabled Clients	Source Port	Any	V				
User Login Policies AP Policies	Destination Port	Any	<b>•</b>				
General	DSCP	Any					
Profiles EAP-FAST Parameters	Direction	Any 💌					
Authentication Priority	Action	Deny					
Priority Order							
Access Control Lists Access Control Lists							
Lists							

Figure 4-8 ACL Configuration Page

### **DHCP and ARP Protection**

The WLC acts as a relay agent for WLAN client DHCP requests. In doing so, the WLC performs a number of checks to protect the DHCP infrastructure. The primary check is to verify that the MAC address included in the DHCP request matches the MAC address of the WLAN client sending the request. This protects against DHCP exhaustion attacks, because a WLAN client can request only an IP address for its own interface. The WLC by default does not forward broadcast messages from WLAN clients back out onto the WLAN, which prevents a WLAN client from acting as a DHCP server and spoofing incorrect DHCP information.

The WLC acts as an ARP proxy for WLAN clients by maintaining the MAC address-IP address associations. This allows the WLC to block duplicate IP address and ARP spoofing attacks. The WLC does not allow direct ARP communication between WLAN clients. This also prevents ARP spoofing attacks directed at WLAN client devices.

### **Peer-to-Peer Blocking**

The WLC can be configured to block communication between clients on the same WLAN. This prevents potential attacks between clients on the same subnet by forcing communication through the router. Figure 4-9 shows the configuration of peer-to-peer blocking on a WLAN.



This is a change from the previous code releases where peer to peer blocking was a global setting on the WLC.

VLANs	WLANs > Edit	< Back Apply
WLANs WLANs Advanced	General         Security         QoS         Advanced           Allow AAA Override Enabled	DHCP
	H-REAP Local Switching <sup>2</sup> Enabled Enable Session Timeout Aironet IE Fabled Diagnostic Channel IPv6 Enable Override Interface ACL P2P Blocking Action Client Exclusion <sup>4</sup> Forward-UpStream Displed Prop	DHCP Server     Override       DHCP Addr. Assignment     Required       Management Frame Protection (MFP)       Infrastructure MFP       Protection       MFP Client Protection \$       Optional \$       DTIM Period (in beacon intervals)       802.11a/n (1 - 255)       1

#### Figure 4-9 Peer-to-Peer Blocking

### **Wireless IDS**

The WLC performs WLAN IDS analysis using all the connected APs and reports detected attacks on to WLC as well to the WCS. The Wireless IDS analysis is complementary to any analysis that may otherwise be performed by a wired network IDS system. The embedded Wireless IDS capability of the WLC analyzes 802.11- and WLC-specific information that is not available to a wired network IDS system.

The signature files used on the WLC are included in WLC software releases, but can be updated independently using a separate signature file; custom signatures are displayed in the Custom Signatures window.

Figure 4-10 shows the Standard Signatures window on the WLC.

cisco		VLANS <u>C</u> ONTROLLER	W <u>I</u> RELESS	SECURITY	MANAGEMENT	- C <u>O</u> MMANDS	HELP	<u>P</u> ing Logout <u>R</u> efresh
Security	Standard S	ignatures			21			Apply
General	Global Setti	ngs						
<ul> <li>RADIUS Authentication Accounting Fallback</li> <li>TACACS+ LDAP</li> </ul>	Enable check for all Standard and Custom Signatures							
Local Net Users MAC Filtering	Signatures							
Disabled Clients User Login Policies	Precedence	Name	Frame Type	Action	State	Description		
AP Policies	1	Bcast deauth	Management	Report	Enabled	Broadcast Deaut	hentication Fram	e
Local EAP	2	NULL probe resp 1	Management	Report	Enabled	NULL Probe Resp	onse - Zero leng	gth SSID element
Priority Order	3	NULL probe resp 2	Management	Report	Enabled	NULL Probe Resp	onse - No SSID	element
Access Control Lists	4	Assoc flood	Management	Report	Enabled	Association Requ	est flood	
Wireless Protection	5	Auth flood	Management	Report	Enabled	Authentication Re	equest flood	
Policies	<u>6</u>	Reassoc flood	Management	Report	Enabled	Reassociation Re	quest flood	
<ul> <li>Rogue Policies</li> <li>General</li> </ul>	Z	Broadcast Probe floo	Management	Report	Enabled	Broadcast Probe	Request flood	
Rogue Rules	8	Disassoc flood	Management	Report	Enabled	Disassociation flo	bod	
Friendly Roque	2	Deauth flood	Management	Report	Enabled	Deauthentication	flood	
Custom Signatures	10	Reserved mgmt 7	Management	Report	Enabled	Reserved manag	ement sub-type	7
Signature Events Summary	11	Reserved mgmt F	Management	Report	Enabled	Reserved manag	ement sub-type	F
Client Exclusion	12	EAPOL flood	Data	Report	Enabled	EAPOL Flood Atta	ick	
Policies AP Authentication /	13	NetStumbler 3.2.0	Data	Report	Enabled	NetStumbler 3.2.	.0	
MFP Management Frame	<u>14</u>	NetStumbler 3.2.3	Data	Report	Enabled	NetStumbler 3.2.	3	
Protection	15	NetStumbler 3.3.0	Data	Report	Enabled	NetStumbler 3.3.	.0	
Web Auth	16	NetStumbler generic	Data	Report	Enabled	NetStumbler		
Advanced	17	Wellenreiter	Management	Report	Enabled	Wellenreiter		

Figure 4-10	Standard WLAN IDS Signatures
-------------	------------------------------

### **Mobility Services Engine**

The Cisco Mobility Services Engine is a platform that is designed to support a variety of services loaded onto the platform as a suite of software.

While any number of services may be delivered on the MSE, an example of services includes Context Aware software, Adaptive Wireless IPS, Mobile Intelligent Roaming, and Secure Client Manager. Each of these services is designed to provide intelligence from the network to help optimize a specific application.

Table 4-1 summarizes the key definitions and functionalities of these services.

Table 4-1 Summary of Mobility Services Software Suite

	Context Aware	Adaptive Wireless IPS	Mobile Intelligent Roaming	Secure Client Manager
Description	Optimize business process with context such as location and telemetry	Mitigate wireless threats with integrated intrusion prevention	Deliver handoff for mobility applications across public and private networks	Simplify device provisioning and management for the wave of new mobile devices

	Context Aware	Adaptive Wireless IPS	Mobile Intelligent Roaming	Secure Client Manager
Applications	Asset Tracking Condition Monitoring	Regulatory Compliance—PCI, HIPAA, SOX	Dual Mode Voice and Data Applications	Secure Connectivity
Primary Industries	Health care Manufacturing	Retail Financial Services Health care	Enterprise Health care Education	Retail Health care Enterprise

Table 4-1 Summary of Mobility Services Software Suite (continued)

### **Adaptive Wireless IPS**

Adaptive Wireless IPS offers protection above that offered by the WLC Wireless IPS, by using the power and position of the Mobility Services Engine, to analyze WLAN data from all sources in within the Cisco Unified Wireless Network.

The Cisco Mobility Services Engine provides analysis processing performance and scalability, storage capacity for historical reporting and forensics, and integration capabilities for services such as location or contact aware asset tracking and client security management. As the mobile business network expands, the Cisco Adaptive Wireless IPS solution provides monitoring and analysis of the growing number of new devices and spectrum uses to ensure ongoing protection of critical business information. Figure 4-11 shows the components that make up the Cisco Adaptive Wireless IPS Solution.



#### Figure 4-11 Components of the Cisco Adaptive Wireless IPS Solution

### **Client Exclusion**

In addition to Wireless IDS, the WLC is able to take additional steps to protect the WLAN infrastructure and WLAN clients. The WLC is able to implement policies that exclude WLAN clients whose behavior is considered threatening or inappropriate. Figure 4-12 shows the Exclusion Policies window, containing the following currently supported client exclusion policies:

- Excessive 802.11 association failures—Possible faulty client or DoS attack
- Excessive 802.11 authentication failures—Possible faulty client or DoS attack
- Excessive 802.1X authentication failures—Possible faulty client or DoS attack
- External policy server failures-Network-based IPS server identified client for exclusion
- IP theft or IP reuse—Possible faulty client or DoS attack
- Excessive web authentication failures—Possible DoS or password-cracking attack

cisco	MONITOR WL	ANs <u>C</u> ONTROL	LER WIRELESS	Sa SECURITY	e Configuration	Ping Logout	<u>R</u> efre
Security	Client Exclus		LEN WINELESS	SECONT	MANAGEMENT	С <u>о</u> мманоз Арј	
AAA General RADIUS Authentication Accounting Fallback TACACS+ LDAP Local Net Users MAC Filtering Disabled Clients User Login Policies AP Policies	Excessive Excessive IP Theft o	e 802.11 Associatio e 802.11 Authentic e 802.1X Authentic or IP Reuse e Web Authenticati	ation Failures ation Failures				
Local EAP Priority Order							
Access Control Lists							
Wireless Protection Policies General Rogue Rules Friendly Rogue Standard Signatures Custom Signatures Signature Events Summary Client Exclusion Policies							
AP Authentication / MFP Management Frame Protection							

#### Figure 4-12 Client Exclusion Policies

### **Rogue AP**

The Cisco Unified Wireless Networking solution provides a complete rogue AP solution, shown in Figure 4-13, which provides the following:

- Air/RF detection—Detection of rogue devices by observing/sniffing beacons and 802.11 probe responses
- Rogue AP location—Use of the detected RF characteristics and known properties of the managed RF network to locate the rogue device
- Wire detection—A mechanism for tracking/correlating the rogue device to the wired network
- Rogue AP isolation—A mechanism to prevent client connection to a rogue AP



#### Figure 4-13 Unified Wireless Rogue AP Detection

### **Air/RF Detection**

There are two AP RF detection deployment models:

- Standard AP deployment
- Monitor mode AP deployment

Both deployment models support RF detection and are not limited to rogue APs, but can also capture information upon detection of ad hoc clients and rogue clients (the users of rogue APs). In monitor mode, the AP is dedicated to scanning the RF channels, but does not pass client data.

When searching for rogue APs, a unified wireless AP goes off channel for 50 ms to listen for rogue clients, monitor for noise, and channel interference (the channels to be scanned are configured in the global WLAN network parameters for 802.11a and 802.11b/g). Any detected rogue clients and/or access points are sent to the controller, which gathers the following information:

- Rogue AP MAC address
- Rogue AP name
- Rogue connected client(s) MAC address
- Whether the frames are protected with WPA or WEP
- The preamble
- Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)
- Received signal strength indication (RSSI)

The WLC then waits to label this as a rogue client or AP, until it has been reported by another AP or until it completes another cycle. The same AP again moves to the same channel to monitor for rogue access points/clients, noise, and interference. If the same clients and/or access points are detected, they are listed as a rogue on the WLC. The WLC now begins to determine whether this rogue is attached to the local network or is simply a neighboring AP. In either case, an AP that is not part of the managed local WLAN is considered a rogue.

In monitor mode, the AP does not carry user traffic but spends all its time scanning channels. This mode of deployment is most common when a customer does not want to support WLAN services in a particular area, but wants to monitor that area for rogue APs and rogue clients.

### Location

The location features of the WCS can be used to provide a floor plan indicating the approximate location of a rogue AP. An example of this is shown in Figure 4-14. The floor plan shows the location of all legitimate APs and highlights the location of a rogue AP using the skull-and-crossbones icon.

For more information on the Cisco Unified Wireless Location features, see http://www.cisco.com/en/US/products/ps6386/index.html.





# Note

Need to update with new WCS page.

### Wire Detection

Situations may exist where the WCS rogue location features described above are not effective, such as in branch offices that contain only a few APs or where accurate floor plan information may not be available. In those cases, the Cisco Unified Wireless solution offers two other "wire"-based detection options:

- Rogue detector AP
- Rogue Location Discovery Protocol (RLDP)

If an AP is configured as a rogue detector, its radio is turned off and its role is to listen on the wired network for MAC addresses of clients associated to rogue APs; that is, rogue clients. The rogue detector listens for ARP packets that include these rogue client MAC addresses. When it detects one of these ARPs, it reports this to the WLC, providing verification that the rogue AP is attached to the same network as the Cisco Unified Wireless Network. To be effective at capturing ARP information, the rogue AP detector should be connected to all available broadcast domains using a Switched Port Analyzer (SPAN) port because this maximizes the likelihood of detection. Multiple rogue AP detector APs may be deployed to capture the various aggregated broadcast domains that exist on a typical network.

If a rogue client resides behind a wireless router (a common home WLAN device), their ARP requests are not seen on the wired network, so an alternative to the rogue detector AP method is needed. Additionally, rogue detector APs may not be practical for some deployments because of the large number of broadcast domains to be monitored (such as in the main campus network).

The RLDP option can aid in these situations. In this case, a standard LAP, upon detecting a rogue AP, can attempt to associate with the rogue AP as a client and send a test packet to the controller, which requires the AP to stop being an active AP and to go into client mode. This action confirms that the rogue AP in question is actually on the network, and provides IP address information that indicates its logical location in the network. Given the difficulties in establishing the location data in branch offices and the likelihood of their being located in multi-tenant buildings, rogue AP detector and RLDP are useful tools that augment location-based rogue AP detection.

### **Rogue AP Containment**

Rogue AP-connected clients, or rogue ad hoc connected clients, may be contained by sending 802.11 de-authentication packets from local APs. This should be done only after steps have been taken to ensure that the AP is truly a rogue AP, because it is illegal to do this to a legitimate AP in a neighboring WLAN. This is the reason why Cisco removed the automatic rogue AP containment feature from this solution.

To determine whether rogue AP clients are also clients on the enterprise WLAN, the client MAC address can be compared with MAC addresses collected by the AAA during 802.1X authentication. This allows the identification of possible WLAN clients that may have been compromised or users that are not following security policies.

### **Management Frame Protection**

One of the challenges in 802.11 has been that management frames are sent in the clear with no encryption or message integrity checking, and are therefore vulnerable to spoofing attacks. The spoofing of WLAN management frames can be used to attack the WLAN network. To address this, Cisco created a digital signature mechanism to insert a message integrity check (MIC) to 802.11 management frames. This allows the legitimate members of a WLAN deployment to be identified and therefore allows the identification of rogue infrastructure, and spoofed frames, through their lack of valid MICs.

The MIC that is used in management frame protection (MFP) is not a simple CRC hashing of the message, but also includes a digital signature component. The MIC component of MFP ensures that a frame has not been tampered with and the digital signature component ensures that the MIC could have only been produced by a valid member of the WLAN domain. The digital signature key used in MFP is shared among all controllers in a mobility group; different mobility groups have different keys. This allows the validation of all WLAN management frames processed by the WLCs in that mobility group. (see Figure 4-15).





Both infrastructure-side and client MFP are currently possible, but client MFP requires CCXv5 WLAN clients to be able to learn the mobility group MFP key and can therefore detect and reject invalid frames. MFP provides the following benefits:

- Authenticates 802.11 management frames generated by the WLAN network infrastructure
- Allows detection of malicious rogues that spoof a valid AP MAC or SSID to avoid detection as a rogue AP, or as part of a man-in-the-middle attack
- Increases the effectiveness of the rogue AP and WLAN IDS signature detection of the solution
- Provides protection of client devices with CCX v5

Two steps enable MFP:

- Enabling it on the WLC (see Figure 4-16)
- Enabling it on the WLANs in the mobility group (see Figure 4-17)

արտիս					Saj	ve Configuration	<u>P</u> ing   Logout	<u>R</u> efres
CISCO	MONITOR	<u>W</u> LANs	<u>C</u> ONTROLLER	WIRELESS	SECURITY	MANAGEMENT	C <u>O</u> MMANDS	HELP
Security	AP Authe	enticatio	n Policy				Ар	ply
<ul> <li>AAA         General         <ul> <li>RADIUS                  Authentication                 Accounting                  Fallback</li>                 TACACS+                 LDAP                 Local Net Users                 MAC Filtering                 Disabled Clients                 User Login Policies                 AP Policies</ul></li> </ul>	RF-Netwo		None None AP Authenticati Management Fr		×			
<ul> <li>Local EAP</li> <li>Priority Order</li> </ul>								
Access Control Lists								
Wireless Protection Policies General Rogue Rules Friendly Rogue Standard Signatures Custom Signatures Signature Events Summary Client Exclusion								
AP Authentication / MFP Management Frame Protection								

Figure 4-16 Enabling MFP on the Controller

### **Client Management Frame Protection**

CCXv5 WLAN clients support MFP. This is enabled on a per-WLAN basis, as is shown in Figure 4-17.

The method of providing MFP for WLAN clients is fundamentally the same as that used for APs, which is to use a MIC in the management frames. This allows trusted management frames to be identified by the client. The WLAN client is passed the cryptographic keys for the MIC as part of the WPA2 authentication process. Client MFP is available only for WPA2. If WPA and WPA clients share the same WLAN, client MFP must be set to "optional".

WLANs	WLANs > Edit < Back Apply
WLANS	General Security QoS Advanced
Advanced	Allow AAA Override   Enabled  DHCP
	H-REAP Local Enabled DHCP Server Override
	Enable Session Timeout (secs) DHCP Addr. Required
	Aironet IE 🛛 🗹 Enabled Management Frame Protection (MFP)
	Diagnostic Channel Enabled
	IPv6 Enable Infrastructure MFP (Global MFP Disabled) Override Interface
	ACL None MID Client
	P2P Blocking Action Disabled Protection 2 Optional C
	Client I Enabled 60 DTIM Period (in t <mark>Optional Value)</mark> Exclusion <sup>4</sup> Timeout Value (secs) Required
	802.11a/n (1 - 255) 1
	802.11b/g/n (1 - 255) 1

Figure 4-17 Enabling MFP per WLAN

### **WCS Security Features**

### **Configuration Verification**

The WCS can provide on-demand or regularly-scheduled configuration audit reports, which compare the complete current running configuration of a WLC and its registered access points with that of a known valid configuration stored in the WCS databases. Any exceptions between the current running configuration and the stored database configuration are noted and brought to the attention of the network administrator via screen reports (see Figure 4-18).

#### Figure 4-18 Audit Report Example

-----

evice 171.71.128. ame		.75 Time of Audit		1:00:2	1:00:23	
Report ID	68		Synchronization	Status	Differe	nt In WCS And Controller
Object nam	е	802.11 171.71	.128.75			
Synchroniza	ation Status	Different In W	CS And Controller			
<						
Attribute bridgingSharedSecretK		(av	Value In WCS	Value In Devic	e	
unugings	nareusecretk	.ey				
Object nam		-	\$ 171.71.128.75 00:	01:64:45:b9:b8		
Synchroniza	ation Status	Not Present In	Controller			
Object nam	e	Known Rogue:	\$ 171.71.128.75 00:	02:8a:0e:37:bf		
Synchroniza	ation Status	Not Present In	Controller			
Object nam	e	Known Rogue:	\$ 171.71.128.75 00:	02:8a:1f:93:f9		
Synchroniza	ation Status	Not Present In	Controller			
Object name	e	Known Rogue:	\$ 171.71.128.75 00:	02:8a:1f:94:15		
Synchroniza	ation Status	Not Present In	Controller			
Object nam	e	Known Rogue:	; 171.71.128.75 OO:	02:8a:5b:40:4d		
Synchroniza	ation Status	Not Present In	Controller			
Object nam	e	Known Roque:	\$ 171.71.128.75 00:	02:8a:5b:41:01		
		Not Present In	Controller			
Object name			\$ 171.71.128.75 00:	02:8a:5b:46:f0		
		Not Present In				
Object nam			; 171.71.128.75 00:	02:8a:5b:46:f1		 
		Not Present In				190735

# Note

Need to update.

#### Alarms

Apart from the alarms that can be generated directly from a WLC and sent to an enterprise network management system (NMS), the WCS can also send alarm notifications. The primary difference between alarm notification methods, apart from the type of alarm sent by the various components, is that the WLC uses Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) traps to send alarms, while the WCS relies on Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) e-mail to send an alarm message. Standard steps should be taken to protect the e-mail servers to ensure that this cannot be used as a DoS attack on the e-mail system.

### **Architecture Integration**

Cisco provides a wide variety of security services that are either integrated into Cisco IOS, integrated into service/network modules, or offered as standalone appliances. The Cisco Unified Wireless Network architecture eases the integration of these security services into the solution because it provides a Layer 2 connection between the WLAN clients and the upstream wired network. This means that appliances or modules that operate by being "inline" with client traffic can be easily inserted between the WLAN clients and the core network. For example, a Cisco Wireless LAN Services Module (WLSM)-based deployment required the implementation of VRF-Lite on the Cisco 6500 to enable WLAN client traffic

to flow through a Cisco Firewall Service Module (FWSM), whereas a Cisco Unified WLAN deployment using a Wireless Services Module (WiSM) can simply map the (WLAN) client VLAN directly to the FWSM. The only WLAN controllers in the Cisco Unified Wireless portfolio not able to directly map Layer 2 WLAN traffic to a physical interface are ISR-based WLC modules. The ISR WLAN module does have access to all the IOS and IPS features available on the ISR, and therefore requires that IP traffic from the WLAN clients can be directed in and out specific ISR interfaces using IOS VRF features on the router.

Figure 4-19 shows an example of architectural integration between a WiSM and the FWSM module. In this example, the WLAN client is on the same subnet as the outside firewall interface. No routing policy or VRF configuration is required to ensure that WLAN client traffic in both directions goes through the firewall.

A Cisco Network Admission Control (NAC) appliance can be implemented in combination with a WLAN deployment to ensure that end devices connecting to the network meet enterprise policies for compliance with latest security software requirements and operating system patches. Like the FWSM module discussed above, the Cisco NAC appliance (formerly Cisco Clean Access) can also be integrated into a Unified Wireless architecture at Layer 2, thereby permitting strict control over which wireless user VLANs are subject to NAC policy enforcement.





In addition to the integration of the Cisco Unified Wireless Network at the networking layers, additional integration is provided at the management and control layers of the Cisco Security solutions. Integration between the Cisco Unified Wireless Network and:

- Cisco NAC appliance
- Cisco IPS
- Cisco CS MARS

Are all discussed in further detail in the following chapters of this design guide, as well as chapters discussion integration of Cisco Firewall solutions and the Cisco Security Agent.

## References

 Deploying Cisco 440X Series Wireless LAN Controllers http://www.cisco.com/en/US/products/ps6366/prod\_technical\_reference09186a00806cfa96.html

- Cisco Wireless LAN Controller Configuration Guide, Release 5.0 http://www.cisco.com/en/US/products/ps6366/products\_configuration\_guide\_book09186a008082 d572.html
- Cisco Wireless Control System Configuration Guide, Release 5.0 http://www.cisco.com/en/US/products/ps6305/products\_configuration\_guide\_book09186a008082 d824.html