



Data Center Interconnect

Design Guide for Virtualized Workload Mobility with Cisco, NetApp and VMware


Last Updated: August 29, 2011



Cisco
Validated
Design



Building Architectures to Solve Business Problems



About the Authors

Max Ardica, Technical Marketing Engineer, Systems Development Unit (SDU), Cisco Systems

Max Ardica, CCIE #13808 and a 13 year Cisco veteran, is a Solution Architect for data center technologies in the Cisco System Architecture and Strategy Unit. His primary focus is driving architectural definition and systems validation for data center solutions, mainly around the topic of data center virtualization and interconnection. Prior to this work, Max was a Technical Marketing Engineer in the Enterprise Systems Engineering (ESE) group at Cisco, where he focused on the development and verification of the best practices for Network Virtualization and Identity related technologies for Cisco Campus Networks.

Jake Howering, Product Manager, Systems Development Unit (SDU), Cisco Systems

Jake Howering, a 12 year Cisco veteran, is the Data Center Interconnect (DCI) Product Manager in the Cisco System Architecture and Strategy Unit. Jake's primary focus includes developing use case solutions leveraging DCI enabled architectures and driving innovation within DCI with new technologies and products. Previously, Jake developed system architectures in Data Center Metro Ethernet services and core ISP routing as both a Systems Engineer and Advanced Services Network Consulting Engineer.

About Cisco Validated Design (CVD) Program

The CVD program consists of systems and solutions designed, tested, and documented to facilitate faster, more reliable, and more predictable customer deployments. For more information visit <http://www.cisco.com/go/designzone>.

ALL DESIGNS, SPECIFICATIONS, STATEMENTS, INFORMATION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS (COLLECTIVELY, "DESIGNS") IN THIS MANUAL ARE PRESENTED "AS IS," WITH ALL FAULTS. CISCO AND ITS SUPPLIERS DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND NONINFRINGEMENT OR ARISING FROM A COURSE OF DEALING, USAGE, OR TRADE PRACTICE. IN NO EVENT SHALL CISCO OR ITS SUPPLIERS BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOST PROFITS OR LOSS OR DAMAGE TO DATA ARISING OUT OF THE USE OR INABILITY TO USE THE DESIGNS, EVEN IF CISCO OR ITS SUPPLIERS HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

THE DESIGNS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITHOUT NOTICE. USERS ARE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR APPLICATION OF THE DESIGNS. THE DESIGNS DO NOT CONSTITUTE THE TECHNICAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVICE OF CISCO, ITS SUPPLIERS OR PARTNERS. USERS SHOULD CONSULT THEIR OWN TECHNICAL ADVISORS BEFORE IMPLEMENTING THE DESIGNS. RESULTS MAY VARY DEPENDING ON FACTORS NOT TESTED BY CISCO.

The Cisco implementation of TCP header compression is an adaptation of a program developed by the University of California, Berkeley (UCB) as part of UCB's public domain version of the UNIX operating system. All rights reserved. Copyright © 1981, Regents of the University of California.

Cisco and the Cisco Logo are trademarks of Cisco Systems, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the U.S. and other countries. A listing of Cisco's trademarks can be found at <http://www.cisco.com/go/trademarks>. Third party trademarks mentioned are the property of their respective owners. The use of the word partner does not imply a partnership relationship between Cisco and any other company. (1005R)

Any Internet Protocol (IP) addresses and phone numbers used in this document are not intended to be actual addresses and phone numbers. Any examples, command display output, network topology diagrams, and other figures included in the document are shown for illustrative purposes only. Any use of actual IP addresses or phone numbers in illustrative content is unintentional and coincidental.

Data Center Interconnect Design Guide for Virtualized Workload Mobility with Cisco, NetApp and VMware

© 2011 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.



CONTENTS

About Cisco Validated Design (CVD) Program 4

Preface iii

DCI Design History iii

Audience iv

Organization iv

CHAPTER 1

Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Introduction 1-1

Virtualized Workload Mobility Use Case 1-2

Use Case Challenges, Concerns & Constraints 1-2

Use Case Criteria Summary 1-6

Data Center Interconnect Solution Overview 1-6

DCI Components 1-7

Virtualized Workload Mobility Solution Overview 1-8

CHAPTER 2

Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Design Considerations 2-1

LAN Extension 2-3

LAN Extension Technical Requirements 2-3

vPC over Dark Fiber 2-4

OTV over Dark Fiber 2-6

Path Optimization 2-8

Egress Path Optimization 2-9

FHRP Isolation with vPC over Dark Fiber 2-9

FHRP Isolation with OTV 2-10

Ingress Path Optimization 2-11

DNS Based Functionality with ACE, GSS and vCenter Integration 2-12

Workload Mobility 2-17

Live vMotion Considerations 2-18

Separate VMware ESX Clusters 2-20

Stretched VMware ESX Cluster 2-21

Stretched Nexus 1000V Model 2-22

ESX Deployment on Cisco Unified Computing System (UCS) 2-27

Virtual Server Isolation and Security: Virtual Security Gateway (VSG) 2-33

Stretched VSG Model 2-36

Storage Elasticity	2-39
ESX Host Storage Requirements for Virtualized Workload Mobility	2-39
Shared Storage Model	2-40
NFS Access to Shared Storage	2-40
Active/Cache Model: NetApp FlexCache	2-42
FlexCache Functional Overview	2-42
FlexCache Reads Operations	2-42
FlexCache Write Operations	2-44
Use of NetApp FlexCache to Enable Virtualized Workload Mobility	2-44

APPENDIX A

Bill of Materials as Validated A-1

APPENDIX B

Acronyms B-1



Preface

This document provides design guidance and Cisco recommended best practices for deploying a Virtualized Workload Mobility solution, allowing the live migration of virtual machines between data center sites located at a distance up to 100 Km (usually named “Twin Data Centers”). The paper highlights the various functional components of a holistic Data Center Interconnection (DCI) Solution, and the corresponding technologies validated in the context of a Virtualized Workload Mobility deployment.

More specifically, technologies discussed are divided into DCI functional components:

- The use of virtual PortChannel (vPC) over dedicated connection is introduced for providing LAN Extension services. Additionally, an alternative and innovative Cisco approach named Overlay Transport Virtualization (OTV) is introduced as another LAN Extension option.
- Integration of Cisco Application Control Engine and Global Site Selector with VMware vCenter server is positioned to provide traffic inbound path optimization between clients and mobile workload entities. This will be combined with First Hop Redundancy Protocol (FHRP) filtering to optimize also the outbound traffic direction and ensure avoidance of asymmetric routing.
- Specific considerations on the deployment of virtualized workload mobility leveraging VMware vMotion functionality will be made, highlighting the Cisco added value of integrating Cisco Nexus 1000V virtual distributed switch and Cisco Virtual Security Gateway (VSG) to ensure that network and security profiles can be easily and seamlessly migrated together with each specific workload.
- Finally, the discussion will move to addressing the specific storage requirements that a workload mobility solution brings up. In this context, advanced Intelligent Storage solutions provided by Cisco partners will be highlighted.

The goal of this paper is mainly to highlight the overall solution architecture and provide design guidance for the specific technologies above mentioned. A separate deployment guide will accompany this design guide, providing more specific implementation details and configuration guidelines.

DCI Design History

The DCI design history focuses on 3 main LAN Extension options.

- Ethernet – The Ethernet LAN Extension option extends Ethernet natively over a dark fiber / DWDM optical transport with Virtual Switching Services (VSS) or Virtual Port Channels (vPC), using the Catalyst 6500 and Nexus 7000 hardware platform respectively.
- MPLS
 - Point-to-Point – The MPLS LAN Extension option includes MPLS point-to-point Pseudowires using the ASR 1000 or Catalyst 65000.

**Note**

The Catalyst 6500 also supports multipoint MPLS solutions using VPLS.

- Multipoint – The MPLS LAN Extension option also includes VPLS, a multipoint ethernet LAN solution using MPLS as transport. The ASR 9000 provides VPLS using Multi-Chassis Link Aggregation (MC-LAG) and vPC to the data center aggregation layer.
- IP – The IP LAN Extension options includes Overlay Transport Virtualization (OTV) on the Nexus 7000 platform, a dynamic MAC routing technology where Layer 2 MAC address reachability information is exchanged between network layer devices.

This document builds upon the DCI design history by introducing a specific use case, Virtualized Workload Mobility that utilizes the DCI enabled infrastructure. The Virtualized Workload Mobility use case has defined requirements that provide clear guidance when designing the DCI enabled system. Additionally, this document highlights the Nexus 1000v as a distributed virtual switch for stretched clusters, the Virtual Security Gateway for virtual machine protection and isolation, and intelligent storage systems for synchronous replication and storage content availability. Finally, this document discusses two different LAN extension options, further highlighting the modularity of the DCI solution components and the utilization of Virtualized Workload Mobility under different LAN extension technologies.

Refer to the follow link for Cisco Validated Designs using Data Center Interconnect.

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/netsol/ns749/networking_solutions_sub_program_home.html

Audience

This document is intended for, but not limited to, network architects, systems engineers, field consultants, advanced services specialists, and customers who want to understand how to deploy a workload mobility solution.

Organization

This document is organized as follows:

- Chapter 1, [Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Introduction](#), provides an overview of the business requirements behind the deployment of a workload mobility solution and introduces the different functional components of a holistic Data Center Interconnect (DCI) solution.
- Chapter 2, [Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Design Considerations](#), discusses various technologies that can be deployed in each DCI functional component and provides specific design guidance around this type of implementation.



CHAPTER 1

Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Introduction

The ability to move workloads between physical locations within the virtualized Data Center (one or more physical Data Centers used to share IT assets and resources) has been a goal of progressive IT departments since the introduction of virtualized server environments. One of the main drivers for the continued increased virtualized server concept being adopted is really the control it gives the IT department because now the IT department has the flexibility and agility that comes with decoupling the Hardware and Software from one another. Ultimately, this means new use cases can be developed addressing specific requirements and adapted to a specific environment. In this virtualized environment, the ability to dynamically and manually redistribute virtual machines to new locations provides the opportunity for value-added and operationally efficient new use cases.

In the virtualized environment, many different use cases have been discussed at times, including the following:

- Data Center Capacity Expansion and/or Consolidation

Applications need to be migrated from one data center to another without business downtime as part of a data center migration, maintenance or consolidation efforts. Virtual machines need to be migrated to a secondary data center as part of data center expansion to address power, cooling, and space constraints in the primary data center

- Virtualized Server Resource Distribution over Distance

Virtual machines need to be migrated between data centers to provide compute power from data centers closer to the clients (follow the sun) or to load-balance across multiple sites.

- Disaster Planning Strategies, including Disaster Avoidance Scenarios

Data centers in the path of natural calamities (such as hurricanes) needs to proactively migrate the mission-critical application environment to another data center.

Individually, each of these use cases is addressing specific needs of the business. Taken together, these use cases aggregate into one clearly identifiable use case: Virtualized Workload Mobility (VWM).

Virtualized Workload Mobility is a vendor and technically agnostic term that describes the ability to move virtualized compute resources to new, geographically disperse, locations. For example, a computer Operating System (as examples: Red Hat Linux or Windows 7) that has been virtualized on a virtualization host Operating System (as examples: VMware ESXi, Windows Hyper-V, or Red Hat KVM) can be transported over a computer network to a new location that is in a physically different data center.

Additionally, Virtualized Workload Mobility refers to active, hot, or live workloads. That is, these virtualized workloads are providing real-time compute resources before, during and after the virtualized workload have been transported from one physical location to the other. Note that this live migration characteristic is the primary benefit when developing the specific use case and technical solution and therefore must be maintained at all times.

Virtualized Workload Mobility Use Case

The Virtualized Workload Mobility use case requirements include the following:

- **Live Migration:** The live migration consists of migrating the memory content of the virtual machine, maintaining access to the existing storage infrastructure containing the virtual machine's stored content, and providing continuous network connectivity to the existing network domain.
- **Non-disruptive:** The existing virtual machine transactions must be maintained and any new virtual machine transaction must be allowed during any stage of the live migration.
- **Continuous Data Availability:** The virtual machine volatile data will be migrated during the live migration event and must be accessible at all times. The virtual machine non-volatile data, the stored data typically residing on a back-end disk array, must also be accessible at all times during the live migration. The Continuous Data Availability use case requirements have a direct impact on the storage component of Data Center Interconnect for the Virtualized Workload Mobility use case and will be addressed further.

With the Virtualized Workload Mobility use case described and the main use case requirements identified, there is also a need to identify the challenges, concerns and possible constraints that need to be considered and how they impact the solution development and implementation.

Use Case Challenges, Concerns & Constraints

The use case challenges, concerns and constraints can be derived by a systematic review of the use case requirements.

- **Live Migration:** With the Live Migration requirement, a virtualization host operating system must be identified and integrated into the solution. Vendor's that provide virtualization host operating systems include VMware, Red Hat and Microsoft, and they all have their own technical and supportability requirements. This means that the solution will have to consider the selected vendors requirements, which may impact the design and implementation of the Virtualized Workload Mobility solution. Virtualization Host OS selection becomes a critical component in the development.
 - **Criteria 1:** Live Migration 5 millisecond round trip timer requirement



Note

There are additional Live Migration requirements that are addressed inherently in this solution.

- **Non-Disruptive:** The Non-Disruptive requirement means that we have to consider both existing server transactions and new server transactions when designing the architecture. Virtual machine transactions must be maintained so the solution must anticipate both obvious and unique scenarios that may occur.
 - **Criteria 2:** Maintain virtual machine sessions before, during and after the live migration.
- **Continuous Data Availability:** The non-volatile memory (storage) must be accessible wherever the virtual machine is located in the virtualized data center. In the event of a virtual machine migration, the stored content must continuously be accessible and this impacts the Virtualized Workload Mobility solution with respect to how the storage infrastructure is specifically designed and integrated into the solution. Additionally, there are many different storage topologies and products to choose from, so the solution must be flexible and adaptable to different storage scenarios.
 - **Criteria 3:** Maintain storage availability and accessibility with synchronous replication
 - **Criteria 4:** Develop flexible solution around diverse storage topologies

These 4 specific items help more narrowly define the Virtualized Workload Mobility use case to be specific in the design and deployability. We'll review each criterion in detail and how exactly it provides clarity into the use case.

Criteria 1: Live Migration 5 millisecond round trip timer requirement


In this solution, we have selected the VMware ESXi 4.1 host operating system, due to its vMotion technology and widespread industry adoption. Please note that new and improved ESXi versions, when available, as well as additional Vendor Host Operating Systems, will be integrated into the solution. One of VMware's vMotion requirements includes that the ESXi source and target host OS's must be within 5 milliseconds, round-trip, from one another. This 5 ms requirement has practical implications in defining the Virtualized Workload Mobility use case with respect to how far the physical data centers may be located from one to the other and we must translate the 5 milliseconds Round-Trip response time requirement into distance between locations.

First thing to note is that the support requirement is a 5 ms round-trip response time so we calculate the distance between the physical locations using 2.5 milliseconds as the one way support requirement. In a vacuum, the speed of light travels 299,792,458 meters per second and we use this to convert to the time it takes to travel 1 kilometer.


Figure 1-1 Light Speed Conversion to Latency

Speed of Light = 299,792,458 meters / second (In a vacuum)				
299,792,458 meters	X	1 kilometer	=	299,792.46 kilometers
second		1000 meters		second
1 second	X	1 kilometer	=	..0000033 seconds
299,792.46 kilometers				
..0000033 seconds	X	1,000,000 microseconds	=	3.3 microseconds
		1 second		
Speed of Light takes 3.3 microseconds to travel 1 kilometer				


The speed of light, when light is travelling in a vacuum, takes approximately 3.3 microseconds to travel 1 kilometer. However, the signal transmission medium on computer network systems is typically a fiber optic network and there is an interaction between electrons bound to the atoms of the optic materials that impede the signal, thereby increasing the time it takes for the signal to travel 1 kilometer. This interaction is called the refractive index.

Figure 1-2 Practical Latency in a Fiber Optic Network

Knowing that it takes approximately 5 microseconds per kilometer in a fiber network, the calculation to determine the distance of 5 milliseconds is straightforward.

Figure 1-3 How Far is 5 Milliseconds

Finally, we must consider that the Criteria 1 requirement is a “round trip” timer. That is, the time between sites includes both the time to the remote data center and the return time from the remote data center. In short, the round-trip distance that the speed of light over a fiber optic network can traverse is actually half of the one-way direction. Therefore, the round trip distance for 5 milliseconds is approximately 500 kilometers in a fiber optic network, which can be reduced to 100 kilometers per 1 millisecond.

Figure 1-4 Round-Trip Distance

However, and this is critical, there are many additional factors that add latency, time, into a computer systems network and this increased time must be considered. Unfortunately, this added systems latency is unique to each environment and is dependent of the signal degradation, quality of the fiber or layer 1 connection, and the different elements, that are intermediate between the source and destination. In practice this distance is somewhere between 100 and 400 kilometers. The Virtualized Workload Mobility use case considers the practical range of 5 milliseconds to be between 100 and 400 kilometers and we highly recommend that you evaluate your environment to define this further.

Criteria 2: Maintain virtual machine performance before, during and after the live migration.

The objective with Criteria 2 is to that ensure service levels and performance are not impacted when executing a mobility event when monitoring traffic flows. Note that virtual machine and application performance are dependent on many factors, including the resources (CPU and Memory) of the host (physical server) and the specific application requirements and dependencies. It should not be expected that all virtual machines and applications would maintain the exact same performance level during and after the mobility event due to the variable considerations of the physical server (CPU and Memory), unique application requirements, and the additional virtual machines utilization of that same physical server. However, given the same properties in both data centers, it is reasonable to expect similar performance during and after the mobility event as before the mobility event.

Criteria 3: Maintain storage availability and accessibility with synchronous replication

One of the key requirements as expressed in field research is the ability to have data accessible in all location in the virtualized data center at all times. This means that stored data, storage, must be replicated between locations, and further, the replication must be synchronous. Assuming two data centers, synchronous replication requires a “write” to be acknowledged by both the local data center storage and remote data center storage for the input/output (I/O) operation to be considered complete (and possibly preventing data inconsistency or corruption from occurring). Because the write from the remote storage must be acknowledged, the distance to the remote data center becomes a bottleneck and factor when determining the distance between data center locations when using synchronous replication.

Other factors that affect synchronous replication distances include the application Input/Output (I/O) requirements and the read/write ratio of the Fibre Channel Protocol transactions. Based on these variables, synchronous replication distances range from 50-300 kilometers. This solution uses the synchronous replication distance at approximately 100 kilometers.

Criteria 4: Develop flexible solution around diverse storage topologies

One of the key drivers in developing a deployable solution is to ensure that it can be inserted into both greenfield and brownfield deployments. That is, the solution is flexible enough that it can be deployed into systems that have unique or different characteristics. Specifically, the solution must be adaptable to different Storage Networking systems and deployments. Two different storage topology considerations include storage located only in the primary data center and intelligent storage delivery systems.

- **Local Storage** refers to the storage content located at the primary data center. After a virtual machine is migrated to the new data center, the stored content remains in the primary data center. Subsequent read/writes to the storage array will have to traverse the Data Center Interconnect and / or Fibre Channel network. This not an optimal scenario, but one to consider and understand when developing the Virtualized Workload Mobility use case.
- **Intelligent Storage** over distance refers to using specialized hardware and software to provide available and reliable storage content. Storage vendors provide both optimized industry protocol enhancements as well as value added features on their hardware and software. These storage solutions increase the application performance and metrics when used in a Virtualized Workload Mobility environment.

The solution will introduce both a local storage and an intelligent storage solution so a baseline metric can be analyzed against a metric when using the intelligent storage solution.

Use Case Criteria Summary


To summarize, the use case requirements and criteria provide the boundary when defining Virtualized Workload Mobility. Below is a list of the main points:

- 100 kilometers between data centers
- Synchronous replication for continuous data availability
- Two different storage solutions
 - Local Storage solution
 - Intelligent Storage solution
- Maintain Application Performance before, during and after Live Migration

Data Center Interconnect Solution Overview

The term DCI (Data Center Interconnect) is relevant in all scenarios where different levels of connectivity are required between two or more data center locations in order to provide flexibility for deploying applications and resiliency schemes.

[Figure 1-5](#) summarizes the three general types of connectivity required for a DCI solution.

Figure 1-5 DCI Connectivity Overview

DCI Components

Four critical functional components are addressed in this DCI solution, as follows:

- **LAN Extension:** Provides a single Layer 2 domain across data centers. The data center applications are often legacy or use embedded IP addressing that drives Layer 2 expansion across data centers. Layer 2 Extension provides a transparent mechanism to distribute the physical resources required by some application frameworks such as the mobility of the active machine (virtual or physical).
- **Path Optimization:** deals with the fact that every time a specific VLAN (subnet) is stretched between two (or more) locations that are geographically remote, specific considerations need to be made regarding the routing path between client devices that need to access application servers located on that subnet. Same challenges and considerations also apply to server-to-server communication, especially for multi-tier application deployments. Path Optimization includes various technologies that allow optimizing the communication path in these different scenarios.
- **Layer 3 Extension:** Provides routed connectivity between data centers used for segmentation/virtualization and file server backup applications. This may be Layer 3 VPN-based connectivity, and may require bandwidth and QoS considerations.
- **SAN Extension:** This presents different types of challenges and considerations because of the requirements in terms of distance and latency and the fact that Fibre Channel cannot natively be transported over an IP network.


In addition to the four functional components listed, a holistic DCI solution usually offers a couple more of functions. First, there are Layer 3 routing capabilities between Data Center sites. This is nothing new, since routed connectivity between data centers used for segmentation/virtualization and file server backup applications has been developed for quite some time. Some of the routed communication may happen leveraging the physical infrastructure already used also to provide LAN extension services; at the same time, it is common practice to have also L3 connectivity between data centers through the MAN/WAN routed network.

The second aspect deals with the integration of network services (as FW, load-balancers). This also represents an important design aspect of a DCI solution, given the challenges brought up by the usual requirement of maintaining stateful services access while moving workloads between data center sites.

Virtualized Workload Mobility Solution Overview

DCI enables the Virtualized Workload Mobility use case. The DCI system has four main components that leverage existing products and technologies and is modular in design, allowing for DCI system to support new and evolving use cases. For the Virtualized Workload Mobility use case, the solution components are listed below as represented in [Figure 1-6](#).

Figure 1-6 Virtualized Workload Mobility Solution Components



LAN Extension: The LAN Extension technology extends the Layer 2 system beyond the single data center and connects 2 or more data centers via a single Layer 2 domain. This use case highlighted two different LAN Extension technologies:

- Overlay Transport Virtualization (OTV) using the Nexus 7000
- Virtual Port Channels (vPC) using the Nexus 7000

Path Optimization: As virtual machines are migrated between data centers, the traffic flow for the client-server transaction may become suboptimal. Suboptimal traffic flow may lead to application performance degradation.

- Ingress Path Optimization (traffic entering the data center): Application Control Engine (ACE) and the Global Site Selector (GSS) with workflow integration with VMware vCenter
- Egress Path Optimization (traffic leaving the data center): First Hop Redundancy Protocol (FHRP) using HSRP Localization on the Nexus 7000

Workload Mobility: Workload Mobility requires a virtualized infrastructure to support migration of virtual machines.


- Nexus 1000v (N1KV) distributed virtual switch in a stretched cluster mode. Please see [Figure 1-7](#)

**Note**

The mobility solution was also designed with consideration to live migration between two different clusters.

- Virtual Security Gateway (VSG) to provide protection and isolation of virtual machines before, during and after the migration event
- Virtual Network Management Center (VNMC) for VSG provisioning
- VMware ESXi Host Operating Systems to enable and support virtual machine instances

Figure 1-7 *Stretched Cluster - A Single ESXi Cluster Stretched Across 100 kilometers*



Storage Elasticity: This use case requires synchronous replication with flexibility to integrate intelligent storage solutions.

- MDS 9000 for Fibre Channel VSAN connectivity
- Intelligent Storage Solutions from NetApp

A key point to recognize is that the solution components are designed to be independent from one another. That is, new technologies and products can replace existing products and technologies within a specified component.




CHAPTER 2

Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Design Considerations

The overall end-to-end architecture leveraged for the validation of the virtualized workload mobility solution is shown in [Figure 2-1](#).

Figure 2-1 *End-to-End Architecture*



As shown above, the data center design leverages the usual separation in tiers:

- Starting from the edge, a Cisco Unified Computing System (UCS) chassis is connected to a redundant pair of 6120 Fabric Interconnect devices. Each blade inserted in the UCS chassis represents a separate ESX host, used to deploy multiple Virtual Machines. Cisco Nexus 1000V is the distributed Virtual Switch (dVS) validated for this specific deployment. The Virtual Ethernet Modules (VEMs) representing the “linecards” of the distributed Virtual Switch are “stretched” across the two data center locations depicted above.
- Each 6120 Fabric Interconnect device is connected with a port-channel to a pair of Nexus 7000 devices, representing a collapsed DC Core and aggregation layer. The Fabric Interconnect devices establish also Fibre Channel connections to the Storage Area Network (SAN) represented by a Cisco MDS 9509 director class switch.
- Network services, like the NAS device or the Cisco Application Control Engine (ACE) are directly connected to the aggregation layer switches leveraging logical port-channels.
- The aggregation devices are then connected to the DC WAN Edge, to provide access to the L3 Core of the network (it could be a MAN/WAN enterprise core or a SP offered service). The L3 core of the network is where are originated the clients connections accessing specific data center services and applications. The Cisco Global Site Selector (GSS) devices are directly connected to the WAN Edge devices.



Note

Not all the redundancy and high-availability design recommendations are deployed in the network shown above (for example the use of redundant ACE module, WAN Edge devices or SAN fabrics). For more detailed design considerations and guidance on how to build a resilient and highly available data center network, please refer the following documents: <http://www.cisco.com/go/datacenter>.

Some general considerations in the context of the virtualized workload mobility solution validated and documented in this paper are the follows:

- The two data center sites are 100 kilometers apart and connected via point-to-point protected DWDM circuits. This represents the typical scenario of “Twins DC sites”, usually deployed in a Metro area. The desire is usually to consider and operate the twin sites as a single virtual data center. Dedicated DWDM connections are also leveraged to extend Fibre Channel connectivity between sites (when needed) or to perform data synchronization/replication.
- As previously discussed, a holistic Data Center Interconnect solution presents various functional components. Various technologies have been considered as part of this effort for covering these DCI functional blocks. More specifically:
 - LAN Extension: given the availability of point-to-point circuits between the two sites, two different flavors of LAN extension technologies have been configured. The first one leverages the virtual PortChannel (vPC) capabilities of Nexus 7000 devices to establish an end-to-end port-channel between the Nexus 7000 pairs deployed in each data center. The second introduces Overlay Transport Virtualization (OTV), Cisco innovative LAN extension technology, deployed in this case across dark fiber connections between sites.
 - Routing: the DCI connection between sites is used both for sending LAN extension traffic and for routed communications between subnets that are not stretched. As discussed in the “LAN extension” section, satisfying this requirement has design implications dependent on the specific LAN extension technology deployed.
 - Path Optimization: the basic assumption for this design is that clients access applications by connecting to the VIP address of a load balancer. This allows implementing a DNS based method to redirect traffic to the data center where a specific application is available. The DNS

based approach validated and documented in this document leverages the integration between Cisco Application Control Engine (ACE), Cisco Global Site Selector (GSS) and VMware vCenter server.

- **Workload Mobility:** virtualized workload mobility is the core functionality discussed in this document. Live mobility leveraging VMware vMotion is the solution validated in this context. Cisco specific technologies, like the Nexus 1000V distributed switch and the Virtual Services Gateway (VSG) are also integrated to enrich the capabilities offered by the workload mobility solution.
- **Storage Elasticity:** moving workloads between sites brings challenges in terms of how these workloads maintain access to the storage disk (physical or virtual). The goal from this point of view is to discuss and compare different deployment model, starting with the shared storage one and moving then toward more advanced intelligent storage approaches like active/cache and active/active.

The following sections of this chapter discuss in greater detail the design considerations around the deployment of each of the DCI functional components listed above.

LAN Extension

LAN extension solutions are commonly used to extend subnets beyond the traditional Layer 3 boundaries of a single data center. Stretching the network space across two or more data centers can accomplish many things. Doing so also presents a challenge, since providing these LAN extension capabilities may have an impact on the overall network design. Simply allowing Layer 2 connectivity between sites that were originally connected only at Layer 3 would have the consequence of creating new traffic patterns between the sites: STP BPDUs, unicast floods, broadcasts, ARP requests, and so on. This can create issues, some of them related to attacks (ARP or flood storms), others related to stability issues (size of STP domain) or scale (ARP caches or MAC address table sizes). How does an extended spanning-tree environment avoid loops and broadcast storms? How does a core router know where an active IP address or subnet exists at any given time?

LAN Extension Technical Requirements

For deploying a LAN extension solution, it is important to consider the following two key requirements:

- **Spanning-Tree (STP) Isolation:** the first basic requirement is to isolate the Spanning Tree domains between the data center sites belonging to the extended Layer 2 network. This is important to protect against any type of global disruptions that could be generated by a remote failure, and to mitigate the risk of propagating unwanted behavior such as topology change or root bridge movement from one data center to another. These packets could be flooded throughout the Layer 2 network, making all remote data centers and resources unstable, or even inaccessible.
- **End-to-End loop prevention:** In each data center site, the deployment of redundant physical devices providing LAN extension services is recommended to improve the overall resiliency of the LAN Extension solution. Therefore, a solution must eliminate any risk of creating an end-to-end Layer 2 loop; STP cannot be used for this purpose, given the previous requirement of isolating the STP domains between remote DC sites.

In addition to these, other requirements to be considered are:

- **WAN Load Balancing:** Typically, WAN links are expensive, so the uplinks need to be fully utilized, with traffic load-balanced across all available uplinks.


- **Core Transparency:** The LAN extension solution should ideally be transparent to the existing enterprise core, to minimize the operational impact.
- **Data Center Site Transparency:** The LAN extension solution should not affect the existing data center network deployment.
- **VLAN Scalability:** The solution should be able to scale to extend up to hundreds (sometimes few thousands) of VLANs.
- **Multisite Scalability:** The LAN extension solution should be able to scale to connect multiple data centers.
- **Hierarchical Quality of Service (HQoS):** HQoS is typically needed at the WAN edge to shape traffic when an enterprise subscribes to a sub-rate service provider service or a multipoint Ethernet virtual private line (EVPL) service.
- **Encryption:** The requirement for LAN extension cryptography is increasingly prevalent, to meet federal and regulatory requirements.

The following two sections introduce the two Cisco LAN Extension solutions that were included as part of the virtualized workload mobility architecture validation.


vPC over Dark Fiber

The virtual Port Channel (vPC) functionality allows establishing port channel distributed across two devices, allowing redundant yet loop-free topology. Compared to traditional STP-based environments, vPC allows redundant paths between a downstream device and its two upstream neighbors. With STP, the port channel is a single logical link that allows for building Layer 2 topologies that offer redundant paths without STP blocking redundant links.

Figure 2-2 STP and vPC Topologies




Despite the fact that the vPC technology has been originally designed to be used intra-DC, the capabilities of bundling links belonging to separate devices into a single logical port-channel can provide a good solution to extend VLAN connectivity between data center sites interconnected with dark fiber (or protected DWDM) connections, as shown in [Figure 2-3](#).

Figure 2-3 vPC Deployment over Dark Fiber

The main advantage of bundling together the physical point-to-point links interconnecting the sites consist in being capable of extending VLANs without creating L2 looped topologies. As a consequence, the recommendation is to filter Spanning Tree BPDUs across the logical port-channel established between sites, so to be able to isolate the STP domains (which represent one of the main technical requirements of any LAN extension solution). Essentially, the idea is to replace STP with LACP as control plane protocol.

One of the shortcomings of this solution is the lack of capability of providing L2 and L3 communication across the same bundled links. This is because of the current lack of support for dynamic IGP peering establishment across a vPC connection. The recommended workaround implemented as part of this validation effort is leveraging a pair of extra L3 links to be specifically used for routed communication, as shown in [Figure 2-4](#).

Figure 2-4 Addition of Dedicated L3 Links

**Note**

For more information on deployment of vPC over dark fiber for LAN extension, including scalability figures and convergence results, please refer to the following paper:

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/solutions/collateral/ns340/ns517/ns224/ns949/ns304/ns975/data_center_interconnect_design_guide.pdf

OTV over Dark Fiber

Overlay Transport Virtualization (OTV) is an IP-based functionality that has been designed from the ground up to provide Layer 2 extension capabilities over any transport infrastructure: Layer 2 based, Layer 3 based, IP switched, label switched, and so on. The only requirement from the transport infrastructure is providing IP connectivity between remote data center sites. In addition, OTV provides an overlay that enables Layer 2 connectivity between separate Layer 2 domains while keeping these domains independent and preserving the fault-isolation, resiliency, and load balancing benefits of an IP-based interconnection.

OTV introduces the concept of “MAC routing,” which means a control plane protocol is used to exchange MAC reachability information between network devices providing LAN extension functionality. This is a significant shift from Layer 2 switching that traditionally leverages data plane learning, and it is justified by the need to limit flooding of Layer 2 traffic across the transport infrastructure. As a consequence, Layer 2 communications between sites resembles routing more than switching. If the destination MAC address information is unknown, then traffic is dropped (not flooded), preventing waste of bandwidth across the DCI connection.

OTV also introduces the concept of dynamic encapsulation for Layer 2 flows that need to be sent to remote locations. Each Ethernet frame is individually encapsulated into an IP packet and delivered across the transport network. Immediate advantages include improved flexibility when adding or removing sites to the overlay, more optimal bandwidth utilization across the WAN, and independence from the transport characteristics (Layer 1, Layer 2 or Layer 3).


Finally, OTV provides a native built-in multi-homing capability with automatic detection, critical to increasing high availability of the overall solution. Two or more devices can be leveraged in each data center to provide LAN extension functionality without running the risk of creating an end-to-end loop that would jeopardize the overall stability of the design. This is achieved by leveraging the same control plane protocol used for the exchange of MAC address information, without the need of extending the Spanning-Tree Protocol (STP) across the overlay.

**Note**

For more information on the OTV technology and for an overview of other deployment models, please refer to the following paper:

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/solutions/collateral/ns340/ns517/ns224/ns949/ns304/ns975/OTV_intro_wp.pdf

The diagram in [Figure 2-5](#) shows the specific portion of the infrastructure dedicated to the deployment of OTV over the point-to-point connections available between the two data center sites.

Figure 2-5 OTV Deployment over Dark Fiber

The current implementation on the Nexus 7000 enforces the separation between SVI routing and OTV encapsulation for a given VLAN. This is an important consideration for the scenario depicted above, since the Nexus 7000 aggregation switches would actually have to perform both functionalities. This separation can be achieved with the traditional workaround of having two separate network devices to perform these two functions. However, a cleaner and less intrusive solution is proposed here by introducing the use of Virtual Device Contexts (VDCs) available with Nexus 7000 platforms. Two VDCs would be deployed: an OTV VDC dedicated to perform the OTV functionalities and a Routing VDC used to provide SVI routing support.

The deployment of OTV over dark fiber brings up several design advantages when compared to the vPC-based solution previously discussed:

- Provision of Layer 2 and Layer 3 connectivity leveraging the same dark fiber connections. The diagram in [Figure 2-5](#) highlights how the dark fiber connection can now be configured as routed links. This is possible because OTV encapsulated traffic generated from the OTV VDC is normal IP traffic and can be exchanged between sites leveraging a routed connection.

**Note**

The grey links in [Figure 2-5](#) represent logical links to the OTV overlay and not physical connections.


- Native failure domain isolation: there is no need to explicitly configure BPDU filtering to prevent the creation of a larger STP domain extending between the two sites. Also, ARP optimization is also provided in order to limit the amount of ARP broadcast frames exchanged between data center locations.
- Improved Layer 2 data plane isolation: The required storm-control configuration is simplified in the OTV deployment scenario because of the native suppression of unknown unicast frames and for the broadcast containment capabilities of the protocol (broadcast containment is a roadmap item at the time of writing of this document).
- Native multi-homing LAN extension capabilities, which would allow extending the service to additional remote sites in a very simple fashion.

Path Optimization

The deployment of LAN extension technologies implies that the same LAN/IP subnet gets stretched between two (or more) data center locations. As a consequence, a given IP address loses its linkage to a specific location. A mechanism is usually desired to optimize the traffic flows between any client and a specific data center service and also between server tiers (specifically for multi-layer application deployments). This is done in order to minimize the “tromboning effect” of traffic going back and forth across the LAN extension connection established between sites.

Specifically focusing on client-server communication, v highlights how there are two aspects of Path Optimization to take into considerations.

Figure 2-6 Ingress and Egress Path Optimization



- Egress Path Optimization:** in order to optimize the server to client (egress) traffic flows, it is usually required to deploy a local active default gateway for all the hosts belonging to a given extended VLAN. Notice that doing so, not only ensure to optimize traffic directed toward a given client, but avoid also tromboning of traffic for inter-subnet routing inside each data center location. When deploying egress path optimization in the context of a virtualized workload mobility deployment, it is also important to ensure that the same virtual MAC (vMAC) and virtual IP (vIP) are associated to the default gateway active in each location.

In this way, a workload moved between DC1 and DC2 (for example leveraging VMware vMotion) would maintain in the ARP cache the information it had before moving, so the same (vMAC, vIP) combination can be used to route traffic outside its own subnet once migrated to the new location.

The recommended solution to achieve this goal consist in defining the same FHRP (HSRP, VRRP) group in each site and filter the FHRP messaging across the LAN extension connection. This prevents the HSRP nodes in the local Data Center from communicating with the HSRP nodes in the remote Data Center and allows each HSRP group to operating independently from one another. The

virtual machine IP default gateway is configured for the HSRP Virtual IP address, and since the HSRP VIP is the same in each Data Center (together with the vMAC, since the same HSRP group is configured), the VM IP default gateway does not need to change, and remains active, as the VM moves from one Data Center to another.

- **Ingress Path Optimization:** the optimization of egress traffic flows previously described represents only half of the challenge. In many scenarios it is highly desirable to ensure optimization also for the ingress traffic flows (client to server), in order to avoid asymmetric routing scenarios where traffic directed to the client exits from DC1 and the return flows directed to the server enters through DC2. This becomes mandatory when deploying stateful services (like the FW and the load balancer shown in Ingress and Egress Path Optimization13), in order to avoid breaking established sessions once the workload is moved to the new location. The set of technologies providing this functionality are named Ingress Path Optimization technologies.


Egress Path Optimization

For server-client traffic flows optimization (outbound direction), it's possible to leverage functionalities like default gateway (FHRP) isolation. This FHRP isolation functionality can be achieved in different ways depending on the specific LAN extension technology deployed, as it will be discussed in the following two sections.

FHRP Isolation with vPC over Dark Fiber

In order to optimize the server-client flows and the local routing of traffic between different subnets, it is recommended to leverage FHRP Isolation, which allows providing an active default gateway in each location also for the subnets that are stretched between sites. This is achieved by filtering HSRP messages and avoiding the two pair of Nexus 7000 devices from exchanging them across the DCI connection (which would happen when defining the same HSRP group in both sites).

[Figure 2-7](#) highlights the specific case where HSRP is used as First Hop Redundancy Protocol on the Nexus 7000 devices acting as default gateway for all the hosts.

Figure 2-7 HSRP Isolation across the vPC Connection**Note**

Similar considerations apply to the use of Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol (VRRP).


The behavior shown above can be achieved by applying an inbound Port ACL on the DCI connection (logical vPC port-channel) so to be able to drop any incoming HSRP frame originated in the remote site. Notice that a VLAN ACL (VACL) defined on the aggregation Nexus 7000 switches could not be used for the same purpose, as it would also prevent the local exchange of HSRP messages between the site aggregation layer devices.

It is worth noticing how the specific Nexus 7000 hardware implementation would cause the aggregation switches to learn the HSRP vMAC from the messages received on the DCI connection before these packets can actually be dropped by the applied PACL. In the validated topology shown in [Figure 2-7](#), this does not represent a problem, since information for this vMAC is already known locally (static entry), so the dynamic entry learned via the DCI connection is never added to the table. This is true for both HSRP Active and Standby devices, when vPC is used to connect these to the rest of the switch (HSRP behavior is improved when integrated with vPC to provide active-active data plane first-hop routing capabilities).

FHRP Isolation with OTV

Similarly to what discussed for the vPC-based approach, it is possible to provide a specific configuration to filter HSRP messages and prevent them to be exchanged across the logical OTV overlay. The recommended approach in this case consists in defining a VLAN ACL on the OTV VDC and applying it to the set of VLANs that need to be extended. As [Figure 2-8](#) highlights, the end result is the same as what shown for the vPC deployment, and an active/standby HSRP pair is present in each data center site.

Figure 2-8 *HSRP Isolation across the OTV Overlay*



A couple of additional considerations are required in this case:

- The filtering of HSRP happens now before the messages are sent to the other site. This is due to the application of a VACL instead than a PACL (as already mentioned a PACL can only be applied in the inbound direction).
- Because of a specific Nexus 7000 HW implementation, even if the HSRP messages are dropped by the VACL once they get to the OTV VDC, this does not prevent the OTV device from learning the HSRP vMAC from the received frame. As a consequence, an OTV control protocol update is created for that vMAC and sent to the other OTV devices connected to the same overlay. Even if this behavior should not have functional impact on the solution, it is recommended to apply a simple configuration (route-map) to the OTV control plane to avoid sending this specific update.




Note

In a future software release, OTV will provide a single CLI knob to enable the FHRP filtering functionality across the overlay, removing the need for a VACL configuration and further simplifying the solution.

Ingress Path Optimization

For client-server flows optimization (inbound direction), an additional level of intelligence is required to provide information on which specific location the service is available and avoid a sub-optimal traffic path across the L2 connection established between sites. As previously mentioned, this may cause an asymmetric traffic path that would break once stateful devices (FW, load balancers, etc.) are deployed as part of the solution, as shown in [Figure 2-9](#).

Figure 2-9 Asymmetric Traffic Behavior

The following section presents a specific DNS based ingress path optimization solution based on the integration of Cisco Application Control Engine (ACE), Cisco Global Site Selector (GSS) and VMware vCenter.

DNS Based Functionality with ACE, GSS and vCenter Integration

The specific approach validated and discussed in this document to optimize the inbound client to server traffic flows is DNS based and leverage the following components:

- Cisco Global Site Selector (GSS)
- Cisco Application Control Engine (ACE), deployed as an appliance
- VMware vCenter



Note

The required interaction with VMware vCenter restricts this solution to scenarios where a resource is moved between data center sites leveraging the vMotion technology.

Some of the initial design assumptions for this specific solution follow:

- A separate ACE is deployed in each data center site (this could obviously be an Active/Standby HA pair for redundancy purposes). The ACE is connected to the aggregation layer devices leveraging a vPC connection (“on a stick” model).
- The ACE in each data center associates a different Virtual IP (VIP) address to each given workload (1:1 mapping). This implies that when the workload is deployed in DC1, external clients can access it by connecting to VIP_1 address, whereas VIP_2 is used once the workload is moved to DC2. This


is the basic assumption of every DNS based ingress optimization technique, since the use of a unique VIP per site is what allows the GSS to redirect traffic to the right location where the workload is deployed.

- Source NAT (S-NAT) functionality has been validated in the solution, to ensure stitching of egress traffic back to the ACE that received the original ingress flow.
- At least one GSS per DC should be deployed to provide redundancy. In the end-to-end architecture in [Figure 2-1](#) each GSS is for example connected to one WAN edge device. These two GSS are configured as an Active/Standby GSSM (Global Site Selector Manager) pair and are able to respond to queries regardless of their active or standby role. It is possible to deploy additional GSS nodes simply operating as peers of the GSSM pair.
- The validated solution requires VMware vCenter to take an action (i.e. update the entry in GSS associated to a given workload), once the vMotion for the workload is completed. In the simplest fashion, this can be done only on a single VM level. As a consequence, in the context of this discussion, each single VM is used to represent a specific application.

**Note**

For more complex deployments, where an application is deployed with multiple tiers, and each tier is represented by a server farm of multiple VMs, integration with a more sophisticated services orchestrator may be required. Discussion on this option is out of the scope for this paper and will be considered for future system releases.

[Figure 2-10](#) shows the traffic behavior while the workload accessed by the client is deployed in the original Data Center 1.

Figure 2-10 Client-Server Communication via DC1

1. The client initially queries its configured DNS server for the resolution of a specific workload address (example.cisco.com). The DNS request is eventually received by the GSS that is authoritative for that specific domain and the GSS replies with the VIP address of the ACE deployed in Data Center 1 (VIP_1), since this is the only entry available for that.
2. Traffic directed toward VIP_1 is steered toward DC1 based on routing information in the L3 core and the active ACE device receives it.
3. The ACE performs Source-NAT translation: the source IP is changed to an address identifying the ACE itself (an internal IP_1 address different from VIP_1); the destination IP address is left unchanged.
4. The workload receives the packet and replies; the packet is directed to the internal ACE IP_1 address.

**Note**


The ACE internal IP address may be chosen on the same VLAN/IP subnet where the server resides or on a separate one. In both scenarios, traffic originated by the server will always be stitched back to the ACE because of the source-NAT functionality. However, in the first case this communication would happen at L2 (ACE internal address and workload belonging to the same IP subnet), whereas in the second scenario would be routed (the workload sends the frame first to its local default gateway).

5. The ACE performs the reverse translation, changing the source IP address to VIP_1 and the destination to the IP address identifying the client and sends the packet into the L3 core.

Let's now assume that the workload is moved from DC1 to DC2 leveraging VMware vMotion. If this is a "live vMotion", the requirement is usually to keep the already established session still active. In scenarios like the one shown in [Figure 2-10](#), this requirement can only be achieved by keeping the

established client-server communications flowing through DC1. This is mainly because of the presence of stateful devices (like firewalls) and the fact that state is not synchronized between the pair of stateful devices in different data center sites. This means that the traffic flows for these established sessions would become the one shown in Figure 2-11.

Figure 2-11 Maintaining Client-Server Established Sessions after vMotion




Client to server flows are still directed to VIP_1 address identifying the ACE device in DC1, hence traversing the same firewall that was used from the beginning.

1. The ACE performs S-NAT translation and is able to reach the destination server by leveraging the L2 logical path provided by the LAN extension technology of choice.
2. The server responds and leveraging the LAN extension path the traffic is stitched back to the ACE in DC1 (again, this is because of the S-NAT translation happened at the previous step). It is worth noticing that if the ACE internal IP address is deployed on a separate subnet that the one where the workload resides, traffic will be first locally sent to the default gateway in DC2 and then routed (and not L2 switched) across the DCI connection to reach the ACE in DC1.
3. The ACE sends the traffic back to the client.

This specific behavior applies only to the already established sessions or for new sessions initiated by clients leveraging the old DNS mapping to VIP_1. These sessions will be naturally terminated after some time, so the expectation is that there won't be need to use bandwidth of the DCI connection for this purpose anymore.

For sessions initiated by new clients, since the server is now located in DC2, the desire is to establish directly connectivity to that site, avoiding the sub-optimal path across the DCI connection. In order to achieve this, it is required to have VMware vCenter interacting with the GSS. The overall behavior is shown in Figure 2-12.

Figure 2-12 Traffic Flows Optimization after vMotion

1. After the vMotion event is completed, vCenter communicates with GSS. The result is a change of the IP address associated to the specific server (example.cisco.com) from VIP_1 to VIP_2 identifying the active ACE device deployed in DC2.
2. When a new client wants to initiate a session to the server and sends a DNS request to the GSS, the GSS replies now with the VIP_2 address.
3. Traffic is steered to DC2 where the ACE identified by VIP_2 is deployed. Once again, this is because of normal routing performed in the L3 core of the network.
4. The ACE performs S-NAT as usual and sends the traffic to the server.
5. The server replies and the traffic is now stitched back to the ACE in DC2.
6. Traffic is finally sent from the ACE to the original client IP address.

In summary, the key functionalities that enable path optimization leveraging the GSS, ACE and VMware vCenter components are the following:

- ACEs devices deployed in different data center sites leverage unique external VIPs: this is required to ensure optimal traffic path for flows originated from the L3 core.
- Use of Source-NAT functionality on the ACE device: this ensures that return traffic flows are always brought back to the ACE used for inbound direction. This is a critical requirement to allow “stickiness” to the deployed chain of stateful services (firewalls for example), usually deployed “north” of the ACE device.
- Storage access optimization is also required, to avoid a degradation of the application behavior in scenarios where the server is forced to access the disk placed in the original site. More considerations around storage can be found in the “Storage Elasticity” section.

Workload Mobility


The specific solution validated and documented in this document leverages VMware ESXi 4.1 hypervisor to partition a physical server into multiple secure and portable virtual machines that can run side by side. The ESXi host system kernel (vmkernel) controls access to the physical resources of the server shared by the VMs. The ESX host system ensures that the following four primary hardware resources are available to guest VMs:

- Memory
- Processors
- Network Adapters
- Storage (local or remote)

The ESXi host virtualizes this physical hardware and presents it to the individual VMs and their associated operating system for use, a technique commonly referred to as full virtualization. A hypervisor achieves full virtualization by allowing VMs to be unaware and indifferent to the underlying physical hardware of the ESX server platform. A standard virtual hardware is presented to all VMs.

The vmkernel is a hypervisor whose primary function is to schedule and manage VM access to the physical resources of the ESXi server. This task is fundamental to the reliability and performance of the ESX virtualized machines. As shown in [Figure 2-13](#), the ESX vmkernel creates this virtualization layer and provides the VM containers where traditional operating systems such as Windows and Linux are installed.

Figure 2-13 **Generic ESX Architecture Overview**



More considerations around ESXi deployment can be found in the “ESX Deployment on Cisco Unified Computing System (UCS)” section.



Note


In the rest of this paper the terms “ESX” and “ESXi” may be indifferently used. As already mentioned, the validated flavor of hypervisor is ESXi 4.1.

Live vMotion Considerations

vMotion is the method used by ESX Server to migrate active VMs from one physical ESX host to another. vMotion is perhaps the most powerful feature of an ESX virtual environment, allowing the movement of active VMs with minimal downtime. Server administrators may schedule or initiate the vMotion process manually through the VMware vCenter management tool.

The vMotion process occurs in the following steps (Figure 2-14):

Figure 2-14 vMotion Process



1. vCenter verifies the state of the VM and target ESX host and determines the availability of resources necessary to support the VM on the target host.
2. If the target host is acceptable, a copy of the active VMs state is sent from the source ESX host to the target ESX host. The state information includes memory, registers, network connections, and configuration information. This is an ongoing process until the delta between the source and target state information is nominal.
3. The source ESX server VM is suspended.
4. The **.vmdk** file (virtual disk) lock is released by the source ESX host.
5. The remaining copy of state information is sent to the target ESX host.
6. The target ESX host activates the new resident VM and simultaneously locks its associated **.vmdk** file.



Note

More information on the **.vmdk** file and the overall storage requirements for vMotion can be found in the “Storage Elasticity” section.


The deployment of workload mobility using VMware vMotion between data center sites geographically separated is currently based on the following specific infrastructure requirements:

- A minimum bandwidth of 1 Gbps is required when deploying vMotion inside a given data center site. In scenarios where mobility is required between disperse location (which is the main point of discussion in this document), it is mandate to provide a minimum bandwidth of 622 Mbps.
- LAN extension is currently required between the data center sites where vMotion is performed. This is for two main reasons:
 1. VMkernel interfaces are used by ESX host's internal TCP/IP stack for facilitating vMotion of a Virtual Machine between ESX hosts. At the time of writing of this document, the interfaces of the source and destination ESX servers must belong to the same IP subnet (the “vMotion Network” shown in [Figure 2-14](#)). Notice that this is not strictly a technical requirement, since vMotion traffic leverages a TCP connection (port 8000) and could hence be routed, but it is the only deployment currently officially supported by VMware.
 2. The IP subnet on which the virtual machine resides must be accessible from both the source and destination VMware ESX servers (this is the “Production Network” shown in [Figure 2-14](#)). This requirement is very important because a virtual machine retains its IP address when it moves to the destination VMware ESX server, to help ensure that its communication with the outside world (for example, with TCP clients) continues smoothly after the move. This is also required to allow intra-subnet communication with the devices remaining on the original site once vMotion is completed
- Up to the validated vSphere 4.1 version, the maximum round-trip latency between the source and destination VMware ESX servers cannot exceed 5 milliseconds. Based on the speed of light over fiber and certain guard bands for network delays, a maximum distance of 400 km is supported today (thus the specific distance of 100 Km validated for this paper is well within these boundaries).

**Note**

Future vSphere release 5.0 will increase the maximum supported round-trip latency for vMotion to 10 msec, allowing doubling the maximum physical distance between sites.

- Access from VMware vCenter (the vSphere management GUI) to both VMware ESX servers must be available to accomplish the migration. This implies that a single VMware vCenter server must span both data centers (vMotion is allowed only in the same vCenter domain).
- The data storage location, including the boot device used by the virtual machine, must be active and accessible by both the source and destination VMware ESX servers at all times. If servers are present in two distinct locations, the sets of data must be identical. More considerations around the specific storage requirements can be found in the “Storage Elasticity” section.
- vMotion allows to move VMs between ESX hosts in two scenarios:
 1. Inter-clusters vMotion: the ESX hosts are grouped in two different clusters.
 2. Intra-cluster: the ESX hosts are all part of the same cluster.
- Before discussing more in detail the differences between inter-cluster and intra-cluster vMotion, it is worth it mentioning the various objects required to define a virtual data center entity in vCenter.

Figure 2-15 vCenter Objects


The object at the top of the hierarchy is the Datacenter (named “Sandbox” in the example above). Inside the Datacenter are then defined the various ESX hosts: as previously mentioned, the ESX hosts could all be part of a single cluster (like the “Single Cluster” noted above) or part of separate clusters all part of the same “Datacenter”. Notice that the concept of Datacenter is completely virtual: the same virtual Datacenter may in reality leverage ESX hosts deployed in separate physical sites. Finally, the virtual machines are defined and associated to the different ESX hosts.

From a vMotion perspective, the main restriction is that a workload mobility event may only be completed between ESX hosts associated to the same virtual Datacenter. This obviously is independent from the fact that the ESX hosts are deployed in a single physical location or distributed between data center sites, and orthogonal to having the ESX hosts part of a single cluster or associated to independent clusters.

The following two sections discuss more in depth the differences between these two scenarios.

Separate VMware ESX Clusters

The deployment of a dedicated ESX cluster in each data center site is shown in [Figure 2-16](#).

Figure 2-16 *Separate ESX Clusters between Sites*

Some considerations around this type of deployment are:

- Up to 32 ESX hosts can be grouped together in a cluster.
- Both clusters (Cluster A and Cluster B) must be part of the same vCenter domain and managed by the vCenter deployed in a given Data Center. There are several ways to increase the resiliency of the vCenter server; in depth discussion of these methods is out of the scope for this paper, but more information can be found at the link below:


http://kb.vmware.com/selfservice/microsites/search.do?language=en_US&cmd=displayKC&externalId=1024051

The basic assumptions for this phase of the design is that one specific method has been deployed to guarantee the liveness of the vCenter server and that this is only deployed in a specific site (Data Center 1 in the example) to manage ESX clusters in both sites. Specific considerations on how to recover the vCenter service in case of major outage of DC1 are out of the scope for this paper.

- VMware functionalities, like VMware Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS), VMware Fault Tolerance (FT) and VMware High Availability (HA) are only available for ESX hosts belonging to the same cluster. This means that there are no chances that a Virtual Machine be dynamically moved between sites, and all the workload mobility events between Data Center A and B must be manually triggered via vCenter.
- In the validated vSphere 4.1 release, vMotion events between ESX hosts belonging to separate clusters could only be serialized. As discussed in the following section, parallel vMotion capabilities are only available for intra-cluster VM mobility.
- In order to support vMotion, ESX hosts belonging to either Cluster A or Cluster B need to be connected to a common storage resource. More considerations around this can be found in the “Storage Elasticity” section.

Stretched VMware ESX Cluster

The deployment of a stretched ESX cluster between two data center sites is shown in [Figure 2-17](#).

Figure 2-17 Stretched ESX Cluster between Sites

Some general considerations around this type of deployment are:

- Up to 32 ESX hosts can be grouped together in a cluster
- Cluster A is managed by the vCenter deployed in Data Center 1 as a specific Virtual Machine.
- VMware functionalities, like VMware Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS), VMware Fault Tolerance (FT) and VMware High Availability (HA) are available with this model for ESX hosts belonging to both data center sites. The consequence is that, by default, it may happen that DRS causes VMs to be dynamically moved between ESX hosts located in different sites. This behavior may not be optimal, since it may be desirable to have a tight control on when workloads are migrated across the DCI connection. One way to achieve this is obviously to disable DRS (or setting it in manual mode). Alternatively, vSphere 4.1 introduces support for “VM to Host” Affinity Rules, a feature that allows taking individual VMs or Groups of VMs and assigning them to individual ESX Servers or Groups of ESX Servers. Leveraging this functionality would allow to ensure that VMs can be dynamically moved (based on DRS driven criteria), but only between the specific set of ESX hosts belonging to the cluster but deployed in the same data center site.
- In the validated vSphere 4.1 release, vMotion enhancements were integrated to speed up VM migrations. On one side, improvements to vMotion functionality allow to achieve migrations that have been 8 times faster than prior releases. In addition, a support for parallel migrations was also introduced, allowing performing up to 8 coexisting migrations when leveraging a 10 GE adapter dedicated to that function on the ESX hosts.
- To support vMotion, ESX hosts belonging to Cluster A need to be connected to a common storage resource. More considerations around this can be found in the “Storage Elasticity” section.

Stretched Nexus 1000V Model

The Cisco Nexus 1000V switch is a software switch on a server that delivers Cisco VN-Link services to virtual machines hosted on that server. It takes advantage of the VMware vSphere framework to offer tight integration between server and network environments and help ensure consistent, policy-based network capabilities to all servers in the data center.

**Note**

Figure 2-18 highlights the deployment of VSMs as virtual machines connected to the VEMs they are managing, since as discussed later this was the specific validated option. Alternatively, it is also possible to deploy the VSMs as part of the Nexus 1010 Virtual Services Appliance, a dedicated hardware platform for the deployment of services critical to virtualization infrastructure. More information on Nexus 1010 Virtual Services Appliance can be found at: <http://www.cisco.com/en/US/products/ps10785/index.html>.

The active/standby VSMs and the VEMs are linked together as part of the same virtual switch instance leveraging the concept of “domain ID”. A domain ID is a parameter of the Cisco Nexus 1000V Series Switch that is used to identify a VSM and VEM as relating to one another. Each command sent by the VSM to any associated VEMs is tagged with this domain ID and if the same domain ID is shared by a VSM and a VEM, the VEM will accept and respond to requests and commands from the VSM. If the VEM receives a command or configuration request that is not tagged with the correct domain ID, that request is ignored. Similarly, if the VSM receives a packet from a VEM that is tagged with the wrong domain ID, the packet will be ignored.

Finally, the Cisco Nexus 1000V Series provides a feature called “port profiles”, as the primary mechanism by which a network policy is defined and applied to switch interfaces. A port profile is a collection of interface-level configuration commands that are combined to create a complete network policy. The port profile concept is new, but the configurations in port profiles use the same Cisco syntax used to manage switch ports on traditional switches, allowing for the configuration of network parameters as VLAN IDs, QoS attributes, etc. Port profiles create a virtual boundary between server and network administrators, since they are network policies defined by the network administrator and exported to VMware vCenter Server. Within VMware vCenter.

Server, port profiles appear as VMware port groups in the same locations as traditional VMware port groups would, so that they become available for use by the server administrator within a few seconds.

**Note**


More information on Cisco Nexus 1000V switch can be found at: <http://www.cisco.com/en/US/partner/products/ps9902/index.html>.

In the context of virtualized workload mobility, the main goal of the validation is to allow the deployment of the Nexus 1000V Distributed Virtual Switch (DVS) in a stretched fashion between Data Center physical sites. This can be achieved independently from the specific ESX cluster deployment previously discussed. This means that the VEM modules forming a given Nexus 1000V switch can be deployed on ESX hosts belonging to separate ESX clusters (Figure 2-16) or to a common cluster (Figure 2-17).

**Warning**

The deployment of Nexus 1000V stretched between physically separated data center sites is officially supported starting from software release 4.2(1)SV1(4). It is strongly advised to consult with your Cisco Account team or Advanced Services team for the latest Nexus 1000v and VSG software recommendations.

Figure 2-19, for example, highlights the deployment of Nexus 1000V between sites in conjunction with a separate VMware ESX clusters model.

Figure 2-19 Nexus 1000V Deployment with Separate ESX Clusters Model

Some of the characteristics of this specific solution are the following:

- VSM deployment: both active and standby VSMs are positioned in the same Data Center site. This is the only recommended and supported option at the time of writing of this document to avoid the possibility of an “active-active” scenario, where both VSM are activated in different sites as a consequence of a complete failure of the DCI connection causing network isolation between sites.

**Note**

The same considerations apply also to VSM deployments on Nexus 1010 appliances. As a consequence, a pair of N1010 will only be supported inside the same data center site.

- The deployment of Nexus 1010 as a container of the VSMs is not considered as part of this validation effort. As a consequence, both active and standby VSMs were deployed as Virtual Machines belonging to an ESX host. It is recommended to deploy each VSM in an active-standby pair on a separate VMware ESX host. This requirement helps ensure high availability even if one of the VMware ESX servers fails. The anti-affinity option in VMware ESX can also be deployed to help keeping the VSMs on different servers. Notice that this is a feature complementary to the one introduced with vSphere 4.1 and targeted to “VM to Host” affinity rules previously discussed.
- It is worth noticing that the VSM used to manage the various VEMs was deployed as a virtual machine connected to the same VEM module it needs to manage. As discussed in “ESX Deployment on Cisco Unified Computing System (UCS)”, the use of specific “system VLANs” allows this model to work breaking a potential “chicken-and-egg” situation.
- L3 is the chosen transport mode for control plane traffic between the active VSM and the distributed VEMs (as opposed to the L2 option). There are a couple of advantages in selecting this approach:
 1. Management VLAN/IP subnet can be used for connectivity between VSM and VEMs removing the requirement for a separate VLAN
 2. L3 allows VEMs to be located in different physical location where L2 adjacency might be challenging

When deploying L3 transport mode, control plane packets exchanged between the active VSM and the VEMs are GRE encapsulated. On the VSM side, a specific “control 0” interface gets automatically created once L3 transport mode is selected. An IP address is then assigned to such interface to communicate with all the distributed VEMs (a specific VMkernel interface on the ESX server hosting the VEM is used for this purpose).

- The control plane is used to handle low-level control packets (such as heartbeats) as well as any configuration data that needs to be exchanged between the VSM and the VEMs. Because of the nature of the traffic carried over the control plane connection, it is recommended to prioritize this traffic to help ensure that the control packets are not dropped. This is even more relevant when stretching the deployment of N1KV between remote sites, since the same DCI connection can be used for various types of communications.
- DCI connection failure: the deployment of Nexus 1000V stretched between data center sites raises some questions on the system behavior under the specific circumstances of DCI connection outage, which leads to isolating the two physical locations. As previously mentioned, the first recommendation is to deploy both the active and standby VSMs in the same site, to avoid split-brain scenarios. However, it is important also to consider the interactions between the other functional components, as for example:
 - VSM-VEM communication: starting with software release 4.2(1)SV1(2), heartbeat messages are exchanged every second between the active VSM and the VEMs. If the DCI connection fails, VEMs in the remote site lose communication to the VSM (there is a specific timeout timer of 6 seconds to determine this case). Once the DCI connection is restored and the VEMs reconnect to the active VSM, assuming no changes have been made on the VSM during the outage period, the VEMs do not need to be reprogrammed and hitless reconnection is experienced. If however the admin has made changes on the VSM while the VEMs were disconnected, then there may be up to 15 seconds pause in network traffic while the VEM are reprogrammed once the connectivity is re-established. As a consequence, the recommendation is to ensure no changes are made if the VEM(s) are not connected to the VSM.
 - VSM-vCenter communication: the connection between VSM and vCenter is normally used to propagate new port profiles information and any changes to existing port profiles. If the connection between the VSM and VMware vCenter Server is disrupted, the VSM helps ensure that any configuration changes that have been made during this period of disrupted communication are propagated to VMware vCenter Server when the link is restored. However, this scenario should be pretty unusual given the fact that both active/standby VSMs and vCenter are normally deployed in the same data center site.
 - VEM-vCenter communication: when a new VEM comes online, either after initial installation or upon restart of a VMware ESX host, it is essentially an un-programmed line card. To be correctly configured, the VEM needs to communicate with the VSM and this process is facilitated by VMware vCenter Server that automatically sends specific information (called “opaque data”) to the VEM, which the VEM uses to establish communication with the VSM and download the appropriate configuration data. The implications of this process, is that VEMs deployed in a remote site cannot be brought up online during the outage of the DCI connection. However, the process will be able to complete as soon as the DCI connection is recovered and VEMs connectivity with vCenter can be re-established.
- Network policies enforced by a port profile follow the virtual machine throughout its lifecycle, whether the virtual machine is being migrated from one server to another, suspended, hibernated, or restarted. This is particularly relevant in a virtualized workload mobility solution, where the VM is migrated between data center sites. In addition to migrating the policy, the Cisco Nexus 1000V Series moves the virtual machine’s network state, such as the port counters and flow statistics. Virtual machines participating in traffic monitoring activities, such as Cisco NetFlow or Encapsulated Remote Switched Port Analyzer (ERSPAN), can continue these activities uninterrupted by vMotion operations.
- Migration procedure for VSMs: in some specific scenarios (maintenance, disaster avoidance procedures, etc.) it may be required to migrate a VSM virtual machine between ESX hosts. If this is performed inside the same data center location (maintenance use case), no specific considerations are required. If however the migration is performed between ESX host deployed in separate sites

(disaster avoidance use case), it becomes important to ensure that the migration procedure is performed in a specific fashion, to avoid the potential issue of ending up at a given time with an active and standby VSM part of two separate sites. This would expose the solution to the dual-active issue, should the DCI connection fail right in that moment. The recommended migration procedure is the following:

1. For the duration the following events take place, do not bring up any new VMs or vMotion other VMs.
2. Power off the standby VSM and migrate it from the first site to the second site.
3. Perform a storage vMotion for the standby VSM storage (if storage vMotion is needed).
4. Migrate the original active VSM from the first site to the second site.
5. Perform a storage vMotion for the original active VSM storage (if storage vMotion is needed).
6. Power on the standby VSM and reform an HA-pair.

**Note**

In scenarios where Intelligent Storage models are deployed (as discussed in the “Storage Elasticity” section), the above procedure can be simplified removing the steps 3 and 5 related to storage vMotion.


When performing the migration procedure detailed above, it is important to keep in mind that the VLAN where the active/standby VSMs are deployed in DC1 needs to be extended across the DCI connection to exist also in DC2. This is to allow these virtual machines network connectivity once the vMotion process is completed. This is required independently from the transport type (L2 or L3) used for control plane communication between the active VSM and the distributed VEMs.

ESX Deployment on Cisco Unified Computing System (UCS)

The Cisco Unified Computing System allows for the establishment of a server farm architecture that enables system resources to be allocated dynamically and flexibly to meet individual virtual machine requirements within a common, consistent resource pool. It provides a system built on a low-latency, lossless, 10-Gbps unified network fabric. The result is rapid deployment and movement of workloads without the need to be concerned about application or virtual placement. Blade servers in the Cisco UCS 5108 Blade Server Chassis have access to the fabric through mezzanine-card adapters that provide up to 40 Gbps of throughput per blade server.

The unified fabric enables a “wire once” deployment model in which chassis are cabled to the fabric interconnects just one time, and I/O configuration changes are made through the management system, unlike solutions that require installation of host adapters and recabling of racks and switches (Figure 2-20). A unified fabric dramatically simplifies rack cabling by eliminating the need for multiple redundant Ethernet and Fibre Channel adapters in each server, eliminating the need for separate cabling to each access-layer switch and the need for separate switches for each network medium. With unified fabric, all traffic is routed to the central server interconnects, where Ethernet and Fibre Channel are separated onto native, nonconsolidated networks.

Figure 2-20 shows the physical cabling infrastructure required to interconnect an UCS system to the first upstream pair of network devices.

Figure 2-20 UCS Connections

Each blade inside the UCS chassis is equipped with one (or more, depending on the blade model) Converged Network Adapter (CNA), a type of port adapter that provides both Ethernet and Fibre Channel (FC) connectivity over a 10 GE interface. Different types of CNA adapters are supported with the UCS blades. In the rest of this section only a specific adapter named Cisco UCS M81KR Virtual Interface Card (VIC) will be considered because of the enhanced functionalities it provides in virtualized environments. Each CNA is then connected to two Fabric Extender modules (FEX), inserted in the blade enclosure and logically part of the fabric switch (they are logical extension of each Fabric Interconnect device). Each FEX has four interfaces to connect to the upstream 6100 series Fabric Interconnect and carry upstream both FC and Ethernet traffic.

The 6100 Fabric Interconnect is one of the most important elements of the Cisco Unified Computing System. It contains all the combined network and server configuration settings, policies, resource pools, and templates, and it provides a single management interface for rapid network and computing service provisioning. At the 6100 level is also possible to separate Ethernet traffic (carried to the upstream network devices) and FC traffic (carried toward the storage fabric).

The Fabric Interconnect connects to the UCS Chassis leveraging interfaces defined “Server Ports”. It also connects to the Ethernet switches upstream via “Uplink Ports” and to the SAN switches via “SAN Ports”. Finally, each Fabric Interconnect is connected to the management network via a dedicated interface; this is to access the UCS Manager running on the Fabric Interconnect and used to manage the entire UCS system. Special cluster links are also used to interconnect the Fabric Interconnects devices: these are point-to-point GE links used to create the Fabric Interconnect cluster, synchronize the configuration and state between the two fabrics and are never used for data path traffic.


**Note**

At the time of writing of this document, up to 20 UCS Chassis can be connected as part of the same UCS System (i.e. to the same pair of Fabric Interconnect devices).

Different level of virtualization capabilities are provided in a UCD System, as highlighted in [Figure 2-21](#).

Figure 2-21 Virtualization Capabilities of the UCS System


Switch port Virtualization (vEth, vFC)



- **Server virtualization:** there are physical servers (UCS B series blades or C series rack mount servers), but their configuration is defined in a Service Profile held in a database in the Fabric Interconnect device (it is an XML entry in the database). The Service Profile defines all of the settings of the server: number of adapters (NICs, HBAs), identity of the adapters (MAC address, WWNs), firmware of the adapters and of the server, setting of the server, etc. The Service Profile can then be applied to any blade server or rack mount server and even moved between these entities.
- **Adapter virtualization:** the Cisco UCS M81KR presents up to 128 virtual interfaces to the operating system on a given blade. The virtual interfaces are dynamically configured by Cisco UCS Manager as either Fibre Channel or Ethernet devices. vNICs and vHBAs connect via “virtual cables” through the physical adapter. A server scanning the PCI bus will discover these virtual adapters as if they were adapters physically plugged in the PCI bus. The provisioning of virtual adapters happens automatically based on the information stored in the Service Profile.
- **Cable virtualization:** use of the VN-Tag technology allows differentiating traffic generated from each virtual adapter (vNICs, vHBAs). The provisioning of these virtual connections happens automatically based on the information stored in the Service Profile.
- **Switch Port Virtualization:** the Fabric Interconnect is the physical switch for the entire UCS system and it leverages physical interfaces (called “Server Ports”) to connect to UCS Chassis or rack mount blade servers. Each physical Server Port provides physical connectivity for the virtual FC and Ethernet interfaces defined on the Fabric Interconnect. These virtual interfaces are then connected to the virtual adapters defined on the servers leveraging the VN-Tag capabilities.

The network diagram in [Figure 2-22](#) highlights the way the Cisco Unified Computing System (UCS) was deployed at the server layer of the validated architecture and connected directly to a pair of Nexus 7000 switches representing the collapsed DC access/aggregation layer.

Figure 2-22 UCS Connectivity to Nexus 7000 in the Validated Topology



Note

In [Figure 2-22](#) the two 6100 Fabric Interconnect devices are connected to the same MDS SAN switch. This was done because SAN redundancy was not the main focus of the validation effort. Based on Cisco Data Center Best Practices, the recommendation would be to connect each Fabric Interconnect to a separate SAN fabric.


Some design considerations around this specific deployment model are:

- The 6100 Fabric Interconnect devices are deployed in end-host mode, which represents the recommended option when compared to the switch mode of operation. Some of the characteristics of end-host mode are the following:
 - The Fabric Interconnect provides local Layer 2 switching for all server ports in the Unified Computing System. This essentially means that Layer 2 communication between hosts deployed on separate UCS chassis can be performed at the 6100 layer, without requiring the traffic to be sent toward the upstream Nexus 7000 devices.
 - Local switching between uplinks port is not allowed in end-host mode. This is the key behavior that allows avoiding the creation of spanning-tree loops without running STP on the 6100 devices.
 - MAC address learning occurs only on server ports, not on uplink ports. The fabric interconnect learns and stores only MAC addresses that are located within the system, such as the addresses of the physical servers in the blade chassis and any virtual servers the physical servers may be hosting. When the fabric interconnect receives a frame from a server destined for a MAC address that cannot be found in its local MAC address table, it assumes that the destination must be outside the system and will send the frame to the server's uplinks. This behavior represents a big benefit from a scalability point of view, since it implies that the fabric interconnect MAC address table size is independent from the size of the MAC tables of the other DC switches belonging to common L2 domains.

- Each 6100 fabric interconnect device is connected to the upstream Nexus 7000 leveraging a logical port-channel interface. This is possible leveraging the vPC functionalities available on the Nexus 7000 switches. This connectivity choice brings the following advantages:
 - Provides higher availability and faster failover if a link or switch is taken out of service. Recovery is exclusively dependent on port-channel re-hashing (a single logical uplink is available from each 6100 to connect to the upstream switch).
 - Increased bandwidth and improved traffic load balancing: all traffic leaving the 6100 device in upstream direction is forwarded to the logical port-channel interface. This allows providing flow-based load balancing toward the upstream network devices.
 - vPC uplinks also offer the benefit of consistent latency and deterministic traffic patterns for flows between servers belonging to separate UCS chassis. This is because each 6100 device always has a local link connecting to the server port of each UCS chassis (except under specific link failure scenarios). This means that the vPC peer-link does not need to be utilized for this type of traffic.

When discussing more in detail the deployment of the ESX hypervisor on UCS systems with the integration of Nexus 1000V virtual switch, additional important design points need to be considered (Figure 2-23).

Figure 2-23 ESXi 4.1 Deployment with Nexus 1000V on Cisco UCS



- The Cisco VIC card allows presenting multiple adapters to the vSphere hypervisor in an effort to preserve the design usually achieved with bare metal servers (leveraging multiple NICs and HBAs). Up to 6 virtual adapters can be currently exposed to each ESXi server; the example in figure above shows a scenario with 4 vNICs and 2 vHBAs. This allows using a familiar VMware multi-NIC design on a server that in reality has (2) 10GE physical interfaces with complete Quality of Service, bandwidth sharing, and VLAN portability among the virtual adapters.
- The vNICs and vHBAs discovered by the ESXi hypervisor can then be mapped to N1KV interfaces leveraging different port profiles. Still referencing the example above, a port profile called “VM Uplink” has associated the Cisco adapter vNIC1 and vNIC2, vNIC3 is associated to the “vMotion Uplink” Profile and vNIC4 is finally used for management traffic. The “VM Uplink” port profile is configured to only forward VLANs belonging to the defined virtual machines (as for example the VM attached to vEth1 interface); the “System-Uplink” port profile is configured instead to only forward VLANs belonging to management traffic. A dedicated port-profile is used for the VMkernel interface used for vMotion. The idea is to assign a dedicated vNIC on the VIC adapter for this type of traffic to be able to clearly identify it. Two vHBAs are also usually deployed to allow the ESX hosts to connect to two separate SAN fabrics (as previously shown in Figure 2-20).
- Each virtual adapter has its own unique VN-Tag assigned by UCS Manager. Traffic received by the physical adapter on the shared 10GE cable is associated to each defines virtual interface (vNIC or vHBA) based on the VN-Tag used. This mechanism also allows the upstream Fabric Interconnect device to identify which virtual adapter the traffic was received from and apply a unique policy to it.
- In the diagram above the UCS blade is running the Nexus 1000V VSM in a virtual machine connected to a VEM managed by the VSM itself. In order to make this model working (avoiding the previously mentioned “chicken-and-egg” scenario), the concept of “System VLANs” is introduced. These special VLANs should be allowed on the System port profile and have the characteristic of being up and forwarding prior to connecting with the VSM for ‘critical connections’ such those needed to reach the VSM and other critical VMware management ports such as the VM Kernel.

**Note**

As previously mentioned, Cisco recommended best practice suggest to deploy each VSM on a separate ESX host. In a specific UCS deployment, that implies deploying the VSM on separate UCS blades that could be part of the same chassis or even distributed across separate chassis for an additional degree of redundancy.

- Finally, in this design the interfaces part of the “VM Uplink” port profile are configured as part of a port-channel. The interesting design point is that each virtual interface part of this bundle is actually connected to an independent upstream Fabric Interconnect device. In order for this to work, it is required to configure the Nexus 1000V to operate in vPC Host Mode (vPC-HM). That means that the Nexus 1000V VEM learns via CDP that Eth 1/1 and Eth 1/2 are connected to separate physical switches and creates a “Sub Group” unique to each physical switch (so that if there are multiple links to the same physical switch they will be added to the same Sub Group). When a virtual machine is sending network traffic the Nexus 1000V will first pick a Sub Group and pin that VM to it. If there are multiple links within the chosen Sub Group the Nexus 1000V will load balance traffic across those links on a per-flow basis.

**Note**

For more information on best practices for deploying Cisco Nexus 1000V with UCS please refer to the following paper:

http://www.cisco.com/en/US/partner/prod/collateral/switches/ps9441/ps9902/white_paper_c11-558242.html.

Virtual Server Isolation and Security: Virtual Security Gateway (VSG)

Cisco Virtual Security Gateway (VSG) is a virtual firewall for Cisco Nexus 1000V Series Switches that delivers security and compliance for virtual computing environments. Cisco VSG uses virtual network service data path (vPath) technology embedded in the Cisco Nexus 1000V Series Virtual Ethernet Module (VEM), offering transparent insertion and efficient deployment. The VSG solution allows IT security, network, and server teams to collaborate while helping ensure administrative segregation to meet regulatory and audit requirements and reduce administrative errors. VSG also introduces the Cisco Virtual Network Management Center (VNMC), which is used to manage VSG(s) in a multitenant environment.




Note

Design considerations around VSG multitenant deployments are out of the scope of this paper.

Cisco VSG, operating in conjunction with the Cisco Nexus 1000V Series (and vPath) supports dynamic virtualization. Trust zones and associated security profiles for each line of business or tenant are created with the Cisco VSG and the Cisco VNMC. Security profiles are bound to Cisco Nexus 1000V Series port profiles (authored on the Cisco Nexus 1000V Series Virtual Supervisor Module [VSM] and published to VMware vCenter). When a new virtual machine is instantiated, the server administrator assigns the appropriate port profile to the virtual machine's virtual Ethernet port. The port and security profiles and the virtual machine's zone membership are immediately applied. A virtual machine can be repurposed simply by assigning different port and security profiles.

The solution components for a VSG deployment are shown in [Figure 2-24](#):

Figure 2-24 VSG Solution Components



- **Virtual Network Management Center (VNMC) Server:** VNMC is a virtual appliance that provides the centralized management point for managing the Virtual Security Gateway. This is also the central point of management for policies or creating security profile. VNMC is designed also to support multi-tenancy, it provides role-based access control and leverages the modern principles of a management architecture that is designed for virtualized and cloud environments.
- **Virtual Security Gateway (VSG):** VSG represents the policy node used to enforce security policies. VSG operates with the Cisco Nexus 1000V Series distributed virtual switch in VMware vSphere hypervisor, and it uses the vPath embedded in the Nexus 1000V Series VEM.
- **Nexus 1000V Virtual Switch:** as previously discussed, Nexus 1000V represents Cisco implementation of a distributed and intelligent software switch for VMware vSphere environments, running the Cisco NX-OS Software operating system. To support the Cisco VSG solution, the Nexus 1000V must be running version 1.4 or later.
- **VMware vCenter:** VMware vCenter Server manages the vSphere environment and provides unified management of all the hosts and VMs in the data center from a single console. You will need vCenter 4.0 and 4.1 with the Enterprise Plus license.


As previously mentioned, a VSG deployment leverages the Nexus 1000V virtual switch and the vPath technology. vPath represents the key functionality to enable virtual services in cloud environments, enabling traffic interception and redirection from each distributed VEM to the VSG security nodes. At the same time, vPath provides the ability to offload certain processing, for example firewall enforcement, inside the hypervisor itself. This mechanism provides significant performance benefits because the packets are anyway coming through the VEMs of the distributed N1KV switch, so vPath simply adds an additional step to the forwarding logic to provide enforcement of services policies.

A key benefit of the solution is that it also provides the ability to decouple the firewall services, from the compute workloads. Of course, VSGs can be placed on a VEM host where the VMs are deployed, but it can also be positioned or decoupled from the compute infrastructure and placed in a separate set of pool of servers or potentially implemented on appliances like the Nexus 1010. And so one can do capacity planning that is decoupled across application workloads and across network services.

**Note**

In its first release, the VSG policy node can only be deployed as a Virtual Machines and it is not supported on the Nexus 1010 appliance. This support will be added in a future software release.

The procedure that allows providing intelligent traffic steering and policies enforcement with vPath is highlighted in [Figure 2-25](#).

Figure 2-25 Security Policy Application with vPath

1. An initial packet (part of a specific flow) is originated from a client and destined to a VM connected to a Nexus 1000V VEM and deployed in a protected zone.
2. The port-profile associated to the vEth interfaces connecting to the VM has a security policies attached. As a consequence, vPath intercepts the traffic flow since it recognizes that any packet destined to that protected zone needs to be subject to a specific security policy defined within an appropriate VSG. vPath encapsulates the original packet with an outer L2 header and sends it to the MAC address of the VSG, representing the policy decision point.

**Note**

At the time of writing of this document, the communication between the VSG and the distributed VEMs requires L2 adjacency between these entities.

1. The specific security policy is applied on the VSG policy node; the VSG stores the decision in its own flow cache (named the “master flow table”) and then sends the decision back to vPath on the specific VEM where the VM is located. vPath caches the same policy decision in its own local cache. It is worth noticing that since the vPath functionality is distributed to each VEM server, the local cache information is not the same across different vPath in different servers. The population of the local cache is purely traffic driven, thus it is populated with policy decisions that are relevant only to a specific local host.
2. If the policy decision is a “permit”, the packet is then forwarded to the destination VM in the protected zone. Now, since vPath has the policy decision associated to that specific flow stored in its local cache, it is able to apply that decision to subsequent packets in the hypervisor kernel and, hence, able to provide firewall enforcement to all the packets right in the data path. This is the fast path available in software in the hypervisor that provides significant performance improvement, because packets no longer have to go through the policy decision point.

Notice that the firewall functionalities provided by the VSG policy node and described above are enabled on a port-profile level. This means for example that in a multi-tenancy scenario, the same VEM can hosts virtual machines belonging to different tenants and a separate VSG per tenant is then used to provide security policies enforcement (a dedicate active/standby VSG pair per tenant is the only supported deployment model at the time of writing of this document).

**Note**

Considerations around multi-tenants deployments are out of the scope for this paper. From now on the discussion will focus on a single tenant scenario, leveraging a single pair of active/standby VSG nodes.


Stretched VSG Model

All the security policies defined on the VSG centralized policy node are applied to zones, which represent a logical construct. Zones are very flexible: they can be associated to a VLAN for example, or they can be defined within a VLAN, in order to segregate traffic between VMs belonging to that VLAN.

Most importantly for the purpose of supporting virtualized workload mobility, zones are very flexible by nature: as VMs moves between VEMs, the zones extend or shrink in order to ensure that the security policies are appropriately applied and security policies remain valid transparently to the mobility events.

The deployment of VSG can then be coupled with the stretched Nexus 1000V deployment discussed in the previous section. [Figure 2-26](#) highlights the scenario where the N1KV virtual switch is deployed inside an ESX cluster that stretches between two data center sites.

Figure 2-26 Stretched VSG Deployment

**Warning**

The deployment of Virtual Security Gateway stretched between physically separated data center sites is officially supported starting from software release 4.2(1)VSG1(1). It is strongly advised to consult with your Cisco Account team or Advanced Services team for the latest Nexus 1000v and VSG software recommendations.

Some of the characteristics of this specific solution are discussed below:

- VSG deployment: similarly to how discussed for the VSMs, both active and standby VSGs should currently be positioned in the same Data Center site. This is the only recommended and supported option at the time of writing of this document to avoid the possibility of a “split-brain” scenario, where both VSGs are activated in different sites as a consequence of a complete failure of the DCI connection between sites.
- As previously mentioned, both active and standby VSGs can be deployed as Virtual Machines belonging to a specific ESX host. It is recommended to deploy each VSG in an active-standby pair on a separate VMware ESX host. This requirement helps ensure high availability even if one of the VMware ESX servers fails. You can also use the anti-affinity option in VMware ESX to help keep the VSGs on different servers. Currently, there is no support for VSG with the Nexus 1010 appliance.
- The VSG Virtual Machines, similarly to what discussed for the VSM ones, are connected to the same VEM hosting the virtual machines to which security policies are applied. No specific design consideration or use of special “System VLANs” are required in this case.
- Security policies migration: as previously mentioned, the VSG behavior has been designed so to ensure that the application of the security policies remain valid independently from the mobility events. Let’s analyze step-by-step what is the process for security policy application before and after workload migration:
 1. Let’s assume that a given security policy is applied to a traffic flow to/from a VM deployed on a local VEM in DC1. This is the steady state at the end of the process described in Security Policy Application with vPath32. The policy decision for that flow is stored both on the VSG (in the “master flow table”) and on the vPath (in the local cache).
 2. The VM is migrated from the VEM in DC1 to a VEM in DC2. As already pointed out, the content of the vPath local cache is specific to each VEM, so the new VEM in DC2 has no policy information for that specific flow. As a consequence, vPath intercepts the first packet as soon the VM is enabled (after migration is completed).
 3. vPath encapsulates the packet and sends it to the active VSG node. Since this needs to happen at L2 and the VSG is located in DC1, the VLAN used for the VSG-VEM communication must be extended between sites across the DCI connection.
 4. The VSG receives the packet and finds in its “master flow cache” all the information (the “state”) for that specific flow. The VSG communicate the policy decision and the state information to the VEM, which stores them in its local cache. The traffic flows can be now successfully re-established and the security policies applied in the data path.

It is important to highlight that vPath tracks Layer 4 information for all the traffic flows (sequence numbers, TCP flags, etc.). This is the type of information referred to as “state” in step 4 above. However, there are some stateful applications that require dynamic opening of additional TCP/UDP sessions as part of the application communication. Support for application level protocol fixup is required to dynamically allow additional connections by doing packet inspection. In the first release of VSG, this capability is limited to FTP, RSH and TFTP, with a plan to add more in future releases.

Using FTP as an example, what stated above means that if a given client is performing an FTP operation (read or write) to an FTP server running on a virtual machine (in a zone protected by a specific security policy), the FTP operation continues also once the VM is migrated to a VEM residing in a remote data center site. This is the demonstration that the security policy effectively moves together with the virtual machine, which represents a critical functionality in a virtualized workload mobility solution.



Note

It is obviously important to consider the storage implications for the FTP example above (since most likely the FTP server would access a file residing on a given disk array). More considerations on the topic can be found in the “Storage Elasticity” section.

- DCI connection failure: the deployment of VSG in conjunction with a Nexus 1000V switch stretched between data center sites raises some questions on the system behavior under the specific circumstances of DCI connection outage, which leads to isolating the two physical locations. As previously mentioned, the first recommendation is to deploy both the active and standby VSGs in the same site, to avoid split-brain scenarios. However, it is important also to consider the interactions between the other functional components, as for example:
 - VNMC-vCenter communication: VNMC registers with vCenter to have visibility into the VMware environment. This allows the security administrator to define security policies based on specific VMware VM attributes. Both VNMC and vCenter should usually be deployed as part of the same site (as shown in Stretched VSG Deployment³³), so their communication is most of the time unaffected by failures in the DCI connection. If for some reason there was an outage in the DCI connection when VNMC and vCenter are deployed in separate sites (maybe because the outage happened in the middle of a migration procedure), there would be two main effects: first, new VMs coming up in vCenter will not be learnt by VSG and therefore any rules/policies using VM attributes will not work for these new VMs. Second, any change in the properties of a given VM will not be learnt by VNMC so will not be effective for rules/policies. It is worth noticing that existing VMs/data sessions will keep working and any rule using network 5-tuple (i.e. not using VM attributes) will work even for new VMs.

**Note**

Some examples of VM attributes that can be obtained from vCenter are: Instance (VM) name, Guest OS full name, vApp name, Cluster name, Hypervisor name, Zone name and Port-profile name.

- VNMC-VSG communication: VSG registers with VNMC (via an SSL connection), and this allows the VNMC to push the defined security policies to it. Since no policy configuration can be done via VSG CLI after this registers with VNMC, it is clear that new policies or policy modifications cannot be applied if the VSG and the VNMC should become isolated from each other.
- VSG-VEM (vPath) communication: this VSG-VEM interaction is critical to ensure that the security policies can be applied when new flows are intercepted by the vPath functionality in the VEM. Since the active/standby VSGs would most likely be deployed in a single site, it is expected that the failure of the DCI connection would cause the impossibility for vPath to forward to the active VSG the first packet of new flows established to/from VMs belonging to the VEMs in the remote site. Under these circumstances, it is possible to configure what should be the policy enforcement behavior: if the “fail open” option is selected, new flows to/from these VMs will be allowed bypassing the security policy. If the “fail close” option is chosen, then new flows will not be allowed and communication to/from the VMs will be prevented. Existing flows will continue to flow without interruption, independently from the chosen mode of operation.

**Note**

The “fail open” and “fail close” modes are set at the port profile level. However, currently there is no support for a mixed mode configuration in a given VSG, which means the same mode is used for all the port profiles associated to that policy node.

- Migration procedure for VSGs: in some specific scenarios (maintenance, disaster avoidance procedures, etc.) it may be required to migrate a VSG virtual machine between ESX hosts. The same considerations previously made for VSM migration remain valid, and the migration procedure is pretty much identical:
 1. For the duration the following events take place, do not bring up any new VMs or vMotion other VMs.
 2. Power off the standby VSG and migrate it from the first site to the second site.
 3. Perform a storage vMotion for the standby VSG storage (if storage vMotion is needed).

4. Migrate the original active VSG from the first site to the second site.
5. Perform a storage vMotion for the original active VSG storage (if storage vMotion is needed).
6. Power on the standby VSG and reform an HA-pair.

**Note**

In scenarios where Intelligent Storage models are deployed (as discussed in the “Storage Elasticity” section), the above procedure can be simplified removing the steps 3 and 5 related to storage vMotion.

Similarly to what mentioned for the VSM migration procedure, the VLAN where the active/standby VSGs are deployed needs to be extended between data center sites to allow a successful completion of the vMotion process. Given the fact that the communication between the active VSG and the distributed VEMs is currently only happening at L2, it is expected that such VLAN would be already extended to allow the remote VEMs to communicate back to the VSG.

Storage Elasticity

One of the most underrated components of a holistic DCI solution is the storage deployment. This becomes specifically relevant in the workload mobility scenario, where in order to be able to move VMs across data center sites, it is critical to ensure a consistent access to the storage for the ESX hosts where the VMs reside.

The following sections will clarify what are the specific storage requirements for ESX hosts in order to enable workload mobility between data center locations, and will discuss a couple of specific Intelligent Storage solutions.

ESX Host Storage Requirements for Virtualized Workload Mobility

Virtual Machines use virtual disks for storage. The virtual disk is actually not a disk but a VM disk image file (VMDK). This file exists with the VM file system (VMFS), which is a flat file system created for better performance. Therefore, the guest operating system and its associated applications are installed into a .VMDK file residing in a VMFS on the physical storage. The physical storage may generically be a local hard drive on the ESX host system or a remote storage device located in the SAN.

However, one of the basic requirements for vMotion support is that the source and destination ESX host can access the same physical storage, in order to be able to expose the same virtual disk to the VM before and after vMotion is completed (as previously highlighted in [Figure 2-21](#)). As a consequence, typically the disk image (VMDK) file is stored in an external disk array and the ESX source and target servers simply swap control of the file lock after the VM state information synchronizes.

**Note**

VMFS was developed and is used for VM disk images, including snapshots. Multiple servers can read/write the same file system simultaneously, while individual virtual machine files are locked. It is not mandatory to use VMFS with VMware; an alternative is NFS.

In the context of this paper, two different types of storage deployments have been validated and considered:

1. Shared storage: all the ESX hosts are exposed to the same physical storage that is deployed in a specific data center location, so storage extension capabilities are leveraged to provide access to it from ESX host available in different sites.

2. Active/Cache storage: all the ESX hosts are exposed to the same physical storage again deployed in a specific data center location. However, a NetApp FlexCache is made available to the remote location to improve the local application response.

The following sections will provide more details on each of these specific storage options.

Shared Storage Model


The shared storage model is conceptually the simplest: all the ESX hosts deployed in both data center sites have access to the same disk array, which is physically available in a specific location. When deploying this approach in the context of a virtualized workload mobility solution, some restrictions in terms of distance and latency between sites become suddenly apparent, considering that hosts located in remote sites will have to perform all their I/O operations (read and write) to the centralized disk array. This model may be deployable in scenarios where workload mobility is deployed between sites in close proximity to each other (few kilometers) like it would be the case for example when the data centers are connected to a common Campus network.

Multiple protocols (Fibre Channel, NFS, iSCSI, etc.) may be used to provide disk access to the hosts. In the following section the NFS options is discussed more in detail.

NFS Access to Shared Storage

The validated shared storage approach is leveraging a NetApp vFiler (FAS 6080 model) deployed in DC1. NFS is the protocol used by the ESX hosts to access the storage and the host-disk communication is carried purely through IP.

Figure 2-27 **NAS Access to Shared Storage**



Some of the design considerations around this model are the following:

- Virtualized workload mobility implications: when migrating a workload from DC1 to DC2, it is important to consider the impact on the applications running on the virtual machine given the increased distance from the disk array.
- The impact that the I/O traffic originated in DC2 and targeted to the filer in DC1 has on the DCI connection should be properly sized, especially considering that when deploying OTV (as in the example in diagram above) this will be mixed with LAN extension traffic and with other routed flows between sites. Classification and rate limiting of traffic may be required if the goal is to ensure that a minimum bandwidth is reserved for providing storage access from the ESX hosts deployed in DC2. NFSv2 is transported via UDP (port 2049), whereas NFSv3 is transported via TCP (port 2049).
- Storage traffic encryption: since the NFS traffic is carried across the DCI connection, the capabilities of encrypting it depend on the support for encryption across such connection. In the specific deployment under considerations in this paper, 802.1AE could be enabled on the physical N7K interfaces connecting to the DWDM ring, similarly to how it was previously discussed for FC traffic on MDS.

Active/Cache Model: NetApp FlexCache

The first storage model representing an improvement from the basic shared one previously described is called Active/Cache, since it proposes to position a cache in the secondary DC where the disk array is not present. The specific Active/Cache implementation considered in this context is NetApp FlexCache.


FlexCache Functional Overview

FlexCache is a caching technology that improves storage access performances, similarly to the way a cache in the memory architecture of a compute system improves performance. FlexCache improves performance in NFS environments by scaling out cache volumes for increased IOPs, bringing data closer to the hosts for decreased latencies, off-loading overburdened storage controllers, or a combination of all of these.

A cache is a temporary storage location that resides between a host and a source of data. The main objective of a cache is to store frequently accessed portions of a source of data in a way that allows the data to be served faster and/or more efficiently than it would be by fetching the data from the source. Caches are beneficial in read-intensive environments in which data is accessed more than once and/or is shared by multiple hosts.

Figure 2-28 highlights the functional components of the NetApp FlexCache solution.

Figure 2-28 NetApp FlexCache Functional Components




- The Origin System defines the Origin Volume where the information is actually stored.
- The FlexCache System defines the FlexCache Volume, which represents the caching system used to store frequently accessed portions of a source of data
- NRV is the NetApp proprietary protocol used to establish communications between the FlexCache Volume and the Origin Volume. NRV is similar to NFS and it is transported over a TCP session (port 2050) established between the Origin and the FlexCache Systems.
- NFS is the protocol used by the host to access the FlexCache Volume


In order to fully understand how NetApp FlexCache can improve storage performances, it is needed to differentiate between Reads and Write operations.

FlexCache Reads Operations

The FlexCache Volume is populated as a host reads data from the source. As shown in Figure 2-29, the first time the host attempts to access certain information from the FlexCache Volume (step 1), the cache has to fetch the data from the original source (2), since the cache is initially “cold”.

Figure 2-29 Original Read Operation

Data is passed from the Origin Volume to the FlexCache (step 3) where it is stored and then passed back to the host (step 4). This behavior allows to “warming up” the cache, so that subsequent read operations for the same data can be served locally from the FlexCache System, without spending time and resources accessing the original source of data (Figure 2-30).

Figure 2-30 Subsequent Read Operations of the Same Data

When leveraging the mechanism described above, it is critical to ensure that the data on the FlexCache Volume are in sync with the information on the original volume, in order not to feed outdated information to the hosts accessing the cache. It is indeed possible that the information on the original volume is changed, for example because of other hosts writing to that disk, so it is important to implement a method to detect this outdated information on the cache (usually called “stale data”).

The main mechanism implemented by NetApp to reduce the issue of “stale data” leverages the **Attribute Cache Time-outs**: as data is retrieved from an origin volume and stored in the cache volume, the file containing that data is considered fresh for a specified amount of time, called the attribute cache time-out. In other words, if a host requests data from a file in the cache volume and the attribute cache time-out has not expired, the cache volume serves the data directly to the host without having to communicate with the origin volume. If the attribute cache timeout has expired, the cache volume checks with the original volume to compare the file’s attributes. If the attributes are the same on the cache volume and the origin volume, the file is fresh, and the data is served from the cache volume (and the attribute cache time-out restarts). If the attributes are not the same, the file is stale, is marked invalid, and is reread from the origin volume before serving the host (also resetting the attribute cache time-out).

Because of the use of a time-out value, a situation may arise in which a file is changed on the origin volume after that file was delivered to a cache volume. If that file on the cache volume is subsequently requested before the attribute cache time-out expires, then the data is served (unknowingly) as stale. If serving stale data in this manner is unacceptable, it is possible to set the attribute cache time-out to zero

to avoid this scenario. With this setting, data is served with the latest version of the data all the time, but this obviously negatively affects the performances since every request for data results in checking file attributes at the origin volume. The default attribute cache time-out is 30 seconds and the right value to configure is the result of a tradeoff between freshness of data and desired data access performances.


**Note**

“Delegations” and “Write operation proxy” are two additional methods implemented with FlexCache to ensure the freshness of data. Since they cannot be tuned or modified and their values are governed by the specific FlexCache implementation, discussing these mechanisms is out of scope for this paper. For more information please refer to NetApp specific documentation available at <http://www.netapp.com>.

FlexCache Write Operations

In a FlexCache system, all writes from a host are passed directly through the cache volume to the origin volume. The origin volume responds to the FlexCache volume when it assumes responsibility for the new or changed data and only then does the FlexCache volume acknowledge the result of the write to the host. This specific behavior, usually called a write-through cache, is highlighted in Figure 2-31.

Figure 2-31 Write-through Behavior




From a storage access performances perspective, the behavior shown above is similar to what previously described for the shared storage model, since independently from where a given host is deployed, the write operations need to reach the centralized Origin Volume. At the same time, this behavior ensures that no “dirty data” are ever stored in the cache volume, avoiding any issue with “stale” data previously discussed for read operations.

**Note**

NetApp has future plans to provide also a “write-back cache” capability, where write operations will be directly executed on the cache volume.

Use of NetApp FlexCache to Enable Virtualized Workload Mobility

Figure 2-32 provides an overall view of the topology where NetApp FlexCache was integrated to provide added value to a virtualized workload mobility solution.

Figure 2-32 NetApp FlexCache Deployment

Some design considerations for this specific deployment are the following:

- A NetApp FAS 6080 filer was deployed in each data center site and connected to the Nexus 7000 aggregation layer devices. As shown in the diagram in NetApp FlexCache Deployment⁴⁰, these connections are configured as L2 trunks in vPC mode on the Nexus 7000 side. The VLANs carried on the L2 trunks are the ones associated to each defined volume (for host to storage NFS communication) and the one to be used for the Filer to Filer NRV communication between data centers.
- ESX servers located in the original site are pointed to the IP address of the Origin Volume, whereas ESX servers in the remote site are pointed to the same IP address that identifies there the FlexCache volume (both are Data ONTAP volumes). It is important to highlight how both FlexCache and Origin Volumes are accessed via the same IP address. This is critical, since as previously mentioned one of the requirements to support vMotion is that both the source and the destination ESX servers have access to a common storage. From a point of view of the ESX hosts in DC2, they are accessing the same volume as the ESX hosts in DC1, despite the fact that in DC2 only a cache is actually available. Also, an important design consideration is the fact that routing information about the specific subnet used by the hosts to access the Volumes should not be exchanged between data center sites. This is to ensure localization of the NFS traffic destined to the Origin or the FlexCache Volumes.
- NetApp proprietary protocol (NRV) is used over the DCI connection for communication between the cache and the original volume. The NRV protocol is used to carry the read and write commands from the cache to the original volume and it is transported across a TCP session (port 2050), so the communication between the Origin System and the FlexCache System happens across the routed DCI connection (i.e. no need to extend a dedicated subnet for this).

It is important to consider the impact that this traffic has on the DCI connection, especially considering that when deploying OTV (as in the example in diagram above) the NRV traffic will be mixed with LAN extension traffic and with other routed flows between sites. QoS classification and rate-limiting of traffic may be required if the goal is to ensure that a minimum bandwidth is reserved for providing storage access to the Origin Volume from the ESX hosts deployed in DC2.

- Since the Origin and the FlexCache Systems are deployed in separate sites, it is important to consider what happens if communication between the DCI sites is interrupted. Under these circumstances, the behavior of the FlexCache System depends on the specific version of Data ONTAP:
 - If the version of Data ONTAP on the FlexCache system is 7.3.0 or earlier, the NRV connection between the FlexCache cache volume and the origin volume is critical to the operation of FlexCache. If the connection is unavailable, FlexCache is unable to determine the freshness of data and cannot guarantee its cache consistency policies. Therefore, FlexCache is unable to serve data to a host when the NRV connection is unavailable.

Once the connection is restored, the FlexCache system automatically reconnects and begins serving host requests again. However, for previously cached data, the FlexCache system must validate cache consistency before serving data from the cache. As previously explained, the attribute cache time-out allows verifying this
 - If the version of Data ONTAP on the FlexCache system is 7.3.1 or later (not including Data ONTAP 10.0.x), then there are options for managing how the NRV connection works when the connection to the origin volume is lost or disconnected. A feature called “disconnected mode” allows the user to enable the FlexCache volume to serve data from the cache when the origin volume is unavailable.

It is important to highlight that data consistency cannot be guaranteed when enabling disconnected mode. If the FlexCache volume cannot communicate with the origin volume, data served from the cache may be stale. It is hence recommended not to use disconnected mode, unless the deployed application can tolerate stale data. If on the other side, it is acceptable to serve potentially stale data for only a specified amount of time, it is possible to set an expiration time for disconnected mode. Once the timer expires, disconnected mode stops serving data until the connection is restored

- The deployment of FlexCache does not provide any support for data replication between sites, since the actual data is only stored on the Origin Volume located in DC1. In order to address scenarios where a disaster could strike and put DC1 offline, it is recommended to provide data replication (for example leveraging NetApp SnapMirror) toward a DR location (which could also be the same DC2 site where the FlexCache System is deployed).

**Note**

Specific Disaster Recovery considerations are out of the scope of this paper.



APPENDIX A

Bill of Materials as Validated

Table A-1 presents the product part numbers for the components required to build out the network infrastructure used to validate the Virtualized Workload Mobility solution.

Table A-1 Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Solution Components

Part Number	Description	Quantity
Cisco Nexus 7010		
N7K-C7010-BUN	Nexus7000 C7010 (10 Slot) Chassis	8
N7K-M132XP-12	10 Gbps Ethernet Module	16
N7K-SUP1	Supervisor module-1X	14
N7K-C7010-FAB-1	Fabric Module 1	24
N7K-M148GT-11	10/100/1000 Mbps Ethernet Module	8
Cisco Nexus 5000		
N5K-C5020P-BF	40x10GE/Supervisor	4
N10-FAN2	Chassis fan module	20
N5K-PAC-750W	AC power supply	8
Cisco Nexus 2000		
N2K-C2148T-1GE	N2K-C2148T-1GE CHASSIS	12
N2K-C2148-FAN	Fabric Extender Fan module	4
N2K-PAC-200W	Fabric Extender AC power supply	4
Cisco Catalyst 6500		
WS-C6504-E	Cisco 6500 4-slot Chassis System	8
WS-SUP720-3BXL	Supervisor Engine 720	4
WS-F6K-PFC3BXL	Policy Feature Card 3	4
WS-X6704-10GE	CEF720 4 port 10-Gigabit Ethernet	16
WS-X6748-GE-TX	CEF720 48 port 10/100/1000mb Ethernet	7
WS-SUP720-BASE	Supervisor Engine 720	1
WS-F6K-PFC3A	Policy Feature Card 3	1
WS-SUP720	Supervisor Engine 720	8
WS-X6148A-GE-45AF	48-port 10/100/1000 RJ45 EtherModule	1

Table A-1 Cisco Virtualized Workload Mobility Solution Components

Part Number	Description	Quantity
WS-SUP720-3B	Supervisor Engine 720	2
WS-F6K-PFC3B	Policy Feature Card 3	3
Cisco Global Site Selector (GSS)		
GSS-4492-k9	Global Site Selector 4492	4
Cisco Application Control Engine (ACE)		
ACE-4710-K9	ACE 4710 Application Control Engine Appliance	4
Cisco MDS 9500		
DS-C9509	MDS 9509 (9 Slot) Chassis	2
DS-X9224-96K9	1/2/4/8 Gbps FC Module	6
DS-X9316-SSNK9	16x1GE, Storage Services Node	2
DS-X9304-18K	4x1GE IPS, 18x1/2/4Gbps FC Modul	2
DS-X9530-SF2-K9	Supervisor/Fabric-2	4
DS-CAC-2500W	MDS 9509 (9 Slot) Chassis Power Supply	2
DS-9SLOT-FAN	MDS 9509 (9 Slot) Chassis Fan Module	2
WS-CAC-6000W	MDS 9509 (9 Slot) Chassis Power Supply	2
Cisco UCS Chassis		
N20-C6508	UCS 5108 Blade Server Chassis/0 PSU/8 fans/0 fabric extender	4
SC IO OPT	I/O Module Addons	4
N20-I6584	UCS 2104XP Fabric Extender/4 external 10Gb ports	5
N20-PAC5-2500W	2500W power supply unit for UCS 5108	11
SC PWR CAB OPT	Power Cables	11
N20-FAN5	Fan module for UCS 5108	32
N20-FW001	UCS 5108 Blade Server Chassis FW package/DO NOT PUBLISH	4
N20-B6620-1	UCS B200 M1 Blade Server w/o CPU, memory, HDD, mezzanine	4
N20-B6620-2	UCS B250 M1 Blade Server w/o CPU, memory, HDD, mezzanine	12
Cisco UCS 6100 Fabric Interconnect		
N10-S6100	UCS 6100 Series Fabric Interconnect	5
N10-S6100-SUP	20x10GE/Supervisor	5
N10-E0440	4x10GE + 4x1/2/4G FC Module	5
N10-FAN1	Chassis fan module	10
N10-PAC1-550W	AC power supply	10
NetApp (Network Attached Storage)		
FAS6080A-IB-BS2-R5	FAS6080A,IB,ACT,ACT,HW/SW, 220V,R5	1
X1107A-R6-C	NIC 2-PORT BARE CAGE SFP+ 10GbE SFP+ PCIe, -c	2
DS4243-0724-12A-R5-C	DSK SHLF, 12x2.0TB,7.2K,SATA, IOM3,-C,45	2



APPENDIX **B**

Acronyms

[Table B-1](#) provides key terminology acronyms used in Data Center Interconnect technologies.

Table B-1 **Acronyms**

ACE	Application Control Engine
DCI	Data Center Interconnect
DWDM	Dense Wave Division Multiplexing
FC	Fibre Channel
FCP	Fiber Channel Protocol
FCIP	Fibre Channel over IP
FHRP	First Hop Redundancy Protocol
FW	Firewall
GSS	Global Site Selector
HSRP	Hot Standby Routing Protocol
LAN	Local Area Network
MAC	Media Access Controller
MAN	Metro Area Network
Nexus 1000v	Nexus 1000 virtual switch
Nexus 7K	Nexus 7000 Data Center switch
OTV	Overlay Transport Virtualization
SAN	Storage Area Network
STP	Spanning Tree Protocol
UCS	Unified Computing System
VDC	Virtual Device Context
VEM	Virtual Ethernet Module
VSM	Virtual Switch Module
VIP	Virtual IP address
VSG	Virtual Security Gateway
VLAN	Virtual Local Area Network
VM	Virtual Machine

Table B-1 Acronyms

VNMC	Virtual Network Management Center
vPC	Virtual Port Channel
VPN	Virtual Private Network
VWM	Virtualized Workload Mobility